arXiv:1612.06958v2 [math.GR] 4 Mar 2017

Endomorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups

T.P. Bywaters, H. Glöckner, and S. Tornier

Abstract

The concepts of the scale and tidy subgroups for an automorphism of a totally disconnected locally compact group were defined in seminal work by George A. Willis in the 1990s, and recently generalized to the case of endomorphisms (G. A. Willis, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), 403–442). We show that central facts concerning the scale, tidy subgroups, quotients, and contraction groups of automorphisms extend to the case of endomorphisms. In particular, we obtain results concerning the domain of attraction around an invariant closed subgroup.

Classification: 22D05 (primary); 37A25, 37B05, 37D99, 37P20 (secondary)

Key words: totally disconnected group, locally compact group, scale, tidy subgroup, endomorphism, contraction group, parabolic subgroup, bounded iterated kernel, nub, induced endomorphism, homogeneous space, quotient group, factor group, anti-contraction group, dynamical system, fixed point, iteration, orbit, past, domain of attraction, metrizability, invariant subgroup, uniform space, foliation, invariant manifold, stable manifold, big cell, Levi factor, Levi subgroup

Introduction and statement of results

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group with neutral element e and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism (which we always assume continuous). Write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The *contraction group of* α is

$$\mathrm{con}(\alpha) := \Big\{x \in G\colon \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha^n(x) = e\Big\}.$$

Given a closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$ with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$, we say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G *converges to e modulo H* (and we write $x_n \to e$ modulo H) if, for each identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$x_n \in VH$ for all $n \geq N$ (cf. [1], [14]). The *domain of attraction of H with respect to α* is defined as the set $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ of all $x \in G$ such that $\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo H. If H is compact or a normal subgroup of G, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ is a subgroup of G, the *contraction group of α modulo H*. Let G/H be the coset space with the quotient topology and $q\colon G \to G/H$, $x \mapsto xH$ be the canonical quotient map. Define a map $\overline{\alpha}\colon G/H \to G/H$ via

$$\overline{\alpha}(xH) := \alpha(x)H \quad \text{for } x \in G;$$

thus $\overline{\alpha} \circ q = q \circ \alpha$. It is clear from the definition that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G converges to e modulo H if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q(x_n) = q(e)$$

in G/H; moreover, $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ is the set of all $x \in G$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\alpha}^n(q(x)) = q(e) \quad \text{in } G/H.$$

Then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)H \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$, and it is natural to ask if equality holds.

Theorem A. *Let $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $H \subseteq G$ be a closed subgroup. If $\alpha(H) = H$ or $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$ and H is compact, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)H$.*

For α an automorphism of G and $\alpha(H) = H$, the theorem is known: see [1, Theorem 3.8] for metrizable G and [14, Theorem 1] for the general result. The case of a p-adic Lie group G and compact H was already treated in [4, Theorem 2.4]. For well-behaved automorphisms (admitting small tidy subgroups), the decomposition of $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ was also obtained in [6].

Let us return to a Hausdorff group G and an endomorphism $\alpha\colon G \to G$. Given $x \in G$, a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G is called an *α-regressive trajectory* for x if $x_0 = x$ and $\alpha(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ be the set of all $x \in G$ for which there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = e.$$

Then $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ is a subgroup of G, called the *anti-contraction group* of α. If H is a closed subgroup of G with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$, then the *anti-contraction set of α modulo H* is defined as the set $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H)$ of all $x \in G$ for which

there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $x_n \to e$ modulo H. If $q\colon G \to G/H$ is the quotient map and $\overline{\alpha}\colon G/H \to G/H$ the induced map (as above), then an element $x \in G$ is in $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q(x_n) = q(e)$$

for some α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for x.

If $\alpha\colon G \to G$ is an automorphism and $\alpha(H) = H$, then

$$\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha^{-1}) \text{ and } \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha^{-1}, H),$$

whence known facts on contraction groups also apply to anti-contraction groups of automorphisms. For endomorphisms which are not automorphisms, such a reduction is not possible. Our second main result is the following:

Theorem B. *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group. Let $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism and $H \subseteq G$ be a closed subgroup such that $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Then*

$$\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)H.$$

For each α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H, there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $y_n \in x_nH$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = e$.

The possibility to decompose $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ for an automorphism α as $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)H$ (when $\alpha(H) = H$) is at the heart of the investigations in [1]. The role of contraction groups for the structure theory of totally disconnected groups and their automorphisms was worked out there, as well as connections to the concepts of the scale and tidy subgroups for automorphisms (as introduced in [23] and [24]). We shall see that, likewise, Theorems A and B are useful for the study of the scale $s(\alpha)$ and tidy subgroups for an endomorphism $\alpha\colon G \to G$ of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G (as introduced recently in [27]). We now recall some definitions and facts from [27].

Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism. Recall that by a theorem of van Dantzig (see [21]), G admits an identity neighbourhood basis consisting of compact open subgroups. If $U \subseteq G$ is such a compact open subgroup, then

$$[\alpha(U) : \alpha(U) \cap U] \in \mathbb{N}$$

is called the *displacement index* of U. If U has minimum displacement index among all compact open subgroups, then U is called *minimizing*, and one defines the *scale* of α as this minimum displacement index. Write

$$U_- := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-n}(U) = \{x \in U \colon (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\ \alpha^n(x) \in U\},$$

where $\alpha^{-n}(U)$ means the preimage $(\alpha^n)^{-1}(U)$. Let U_+ be the set of all $x \in U$ for which there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for all n. Then

$$U_+ = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} U_{n,\alpha} \quad \text{with}$$
$$U_{0,\alpha} := U \ \text{ and } \ U_{n+1,\alpha} := U \cap \alpha(U_{n,\alpha}) \ \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \tag{1}$$

moreover, U_+ and U_- are compact subgroups of G such that

$$\alpha(U_-) \subseteq U_- \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(U_+) \supseteq U_+$$

(see [27], or also Lemma 1.13). The sets

$$U_{--} := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-n}(U_-) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{++} := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(U_+)$$

are unions of ascending sequences of subgoups, whence they are subgroups of G. If $U = U_+U_-$, then U is called *tidy above* for α. If U_{++} is closed in G and the indices

$$[\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)] \in \mathbb{N}$$

are independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then U is called *tidy below*. If U is both tidy above and tidy below for α, then U is called *tidy for* α (see [27]).

We shall use the fact that U is tidy if and only if U is tidy above and U_{--} is closed in G (see [27, Proposition 9]).

By [27, Lemma 5 and Theorem 2], a compact open subgroup U of G is minimizing for α if and only if it is tidy for α, in which case

$$s(\alpha) = [\alpha(U) : \alpha(U) \cap U] = [\alpha(U_+) : U_+].$$

If U is a compact open subgroup of G, then the compact open subgroup

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(U)$$

is tidy above for large $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (see [27]). For $U \subseteq G$ a compact open subgroup,

$$U_+ \cap U_-$$

is a compact subgroup of G such that

$$\alpha(U_+ \cap U_-) = U_+ \cap U_-$$

(see [27], or also Lemma 1.13). Let $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ be the *anti-parabolic subgroup* of α consisting of all $x \in G$ for which there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact in G. Then $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is a closed subgroup of G and

$$\alpha(\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)) = \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$$

(see [27, comment after Proposition 19]). The intersection $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ of all tidy subgroups is called the *nub* of α. The nub is compact, and α*-stable* in the sense that $\alpha(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ (see [27, Proposition 20]). The nub coincides with the trivial group $\{e\}$ if and only if α *has small tidy subgroups* in the sense that the subgroups which are tidy for α form a basis of identity neighbourhoods.[1]

The *bounded iterated kernel* $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ is defined as the closure[2]

$$\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) := \overline{\{x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) : \alpha^n(x) = e \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}};$$

it is an α-stable compact normal subgroup of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. The endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}$ of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ induced by α is an automorphism and $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ [27,Proposition 20]. Then $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)/\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ (see Proposition 11.2 (b)) and $\mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ is the largest $\overline{\alpha}$-stable closed subgroup of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ on which $\overline{\alpha}$ acts ergodically (see [26, Proposition 4.4]).

The article [24] analyzes how the scale of an automorphism α behaves with respect to taking subgroups and quotients. We generalize these results to the case of endomorphisms which requires new methods, prominently featuring $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$. Most notably we obtain:

Theorem C. *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$.*

[1] If the intersection is trivial, then the tidy subgroups form a filter basis of compact sets (by [27, Proposition 12]) which has intersection $\{e\}$ and therefore converges to e (cf. 1.1).

[2] This definition is intended in [27] (and used in the proof of [27, Proposition 20]), rather than the (deviating) formula for $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ given in [27, Definition 12].

(a) *There is a compact open subgroup U of G which is tidy for α and such that $U \cap H$ is tidy for $\alpha|_H$. Furthermore*

$$s_H(\alpha|_H) \leq s_G(\alpha).$$

(b) *If, in addition, H is normal in G, then there is an endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}$ induced by α on G/H and*

$$s_H(\alpha|_H)s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) \text{ divides } s_G(\alpha).$$

(c) *If, in addition to all the above, $H \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is a closed normal α-stable subgroup then*

$$s_H(\alpha|_H)s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) = s_G(\alpha).$$

Parts (a) and (b) of Theorem C are contained in Section 7 as Lemma 7.2, Proposition 7.3 and Theorem 7.8. The proof of part (c) requires a generalization of [1, Proposition 3.21(2)], see Proposition 7.10, and Theorem E. The proof is given at the end of Section 10 as Theorem 10.3.

Using Theorem A, we show:

Theorem D. *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism. Then α has small tidy subgroups if and only if $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed in G.*

So far, Theorem D was known for automorphisms (see [1, Theorem 3.32] for metrizable G; techniques from [14] can be used to remove the metrizability condition). The theorem was also known for analytic endomorphisms of Lie groups over local fields where it can be established more directly, using the inverse function theorem as a tool [10].

For α an automorphism, $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed if and only if $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ is closed (see [1, Theorem 3.32]). For endomorphisms, closedness of $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ still implies closedness of $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ (see Proposition 9.4), but not conversely.

Using Theorem B, we show:

Theorem E. *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism. Then*

$$s(\alpha) = s\big(\alpha|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}}\big). \tag{2}$$

For α an automorphism, (2) is known (cf. [1, Proposition 3.21 (3)]).

Further consequences of Theorems A and B are obtained. Let $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) \subseteq G$ be the *parabolic subgroup* associated to an endomorphism α, i.e., the set of all $x \in G$ for which $\{\alpha^n(x) \colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact in G. Let

$$\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) := \mathrm{par}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$$

be the *Levi subgroup*. If G is locally compact and totally disconnected, then $\mathrm{par}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ are closed subgroups of G such that $\alpha(\mathrm{par}(\alpha)) \subseteq \mathrm{par}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ (see [27, Proposition 19]).

We study $\mathrm{par}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and their connections to tidy subgroups. If α has small tidy subgroups, then the strongest conclusions can be obtained, as compiled in the next theorem. For α an automorphism, cf. already [6] for part (a) and [1, Theorem 3.32] for (b).

Theorem F. *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then α has small tidy subgroups if and only if $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ has small tidy subgroups. In this case, the following holds*:[3]

(a) $\Omega := \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ *is an open identity neighbourhood in G such that $\alpha(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$, and the product map*

$$\pi \colon \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \to \Omega, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto xyz \tag{3}$$

is a homeomorphism.

(b) $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ *and* $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$.

(c) $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$, $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ *and* $\alpha|_{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$ *are automorphisms.*

(d) *Every subgroup V of G which is tidy for α is a subset of Ω; it satisfies*

$$V_- = (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V) \rtimes (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)$$

and $V_+ = (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V) \rtimes (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)$.

(e) *A compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$ is tidy for α if and only if*

$$V = (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V), \tag{4}$$

[3]The semidirect products mean semidirect products of topological groups.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V) &\subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V, && (5)\\ \alpha(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V) &= \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V, \quad \text{and} && (6)\\ \alpha(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V) &\supseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V. && (7) \end{aligned}$$

(f) *The compact open subgroups* $W \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ *with* $\alpha(W) = W$ *form a basis of identity neigbourhoods in* $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$.

If G is a Lie group over a local field[4] (as in [3] and [19]) and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ is an analytic endomorphism with small tidy subgroups, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ are Lie subgroups of G (in the strong sense of submanifolds) and the product map in (3) is an analytic diffeomorphism, as can be shown using local invariant manifolds around the fixed point e of the time-discrete analytic dynamical system (G, α) (as constructed in [9]) as a tool (see [10]). Thus Ω is both foliated into right translates of the stable manifold $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, and into left translates of $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ on which $(\alpha|_{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)})^{-1}$ is a contractive automorphism.

By (e) in Theorem F, the automorphism $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ is distal.[5] Information concerning contractive automorphisms of locally compact groups can be found in [20] and [11]; contractive analytic automorphisms of Lie groups over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ are discussed in [22] (for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$) and [8].

Independently, studies of endomorphisms of totally disconnected groups involving [27] have also been performed in [5], with a view towards topological entropy. Notably, an addition theorem for the topological entropy of endomorphisms is obtained in [5, Theorem 1.2], which achieves similar goals as Theorem C (c) for closed subgroups $H \subseteq G$ such that $\alpha(H) = H$ and $\ker(\alpha) \subseteq H$, and moreover H is normal or compact. The topological entropy $h_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha)$ can be linked to the scale $s(\alpha)$ (in the sense that $h_{\mathrm{top}} = \ln s(\alpha)$) if and only if $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \{e\}$ (see [5, Corollary 4.11]). A complementary study of endomorphisms of *profinite* groups was performed in [16].

Structure of the article. In a preparatory first section, we provide auxiliary results concerning convergence modulo H and generalizations of the concept for self-maps of uniform spaces. Section 2 is devoted to the proof

[4] That is, a totally disconnected, locally compact, non-discrete topological field

[5] An automorphism β of a locally compact group H is called *distal* if the neutral element e is not contained in the closure of $\{\beta^n(x)\colon n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for any $x \in H \setminus \{e\}$. See [15] and the references therein for further information on distality.

of Theorem A for metrizable G, by an adaptation of arguments from [1]. In Section 3, we prove Theorem B for metrizable G. Also this proof was inspired by [1], but major changes were necessary to enable (unique) α-orbits to be replaced with (not necessarily unique) α-regressive trajectories. In both cases, the generalization to non-metrizable G uses a method that was stimulated by Jaworski's arguments in [14], but deviates in detail as we use a different cardinal as the parameter in a transfinite induction. In this way, we can do without some of the preparatory lemmas in [14], which do not have analogues for anti-contraction groups. See Section 5 for the case of Theorem A. The relevant cardinal invariant is introduced in Section 4. As to Theorem B, we have to line up regressive trajectories by a transfinite induction over the steps of a projective system, rather than mere elements of anti-contraction groups (Section 6). This also enables us to get around the problem that although

$$\mathrm{con}(\alpha, K) \cap \mathrm{con}(\alpha, L) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K \cap L)$$

for compact K and L, a corresponding formula cannot be expected for anti-contraction groups of an endomorphism.[6]

Section 7 analyzes how the scale of an endomorphism behaves with respect to subgroups and quotients and proves most of Theorem C. In Sections 8–12, we give applications of Theorems A and B concerning endomorphisms, their scale and the various subgroups going along with them. Notably, we obtain proofs for Theorems D, E, and F.

Acknowledgements. The research was carried out during the 'Winter of Disconnectedness' hosted by the University of Melbourne (Matrix Center, Creswick) and the University of Newcastle (NSW). We owe thanks to both institutions for their hospitality and are grateful to George Willis for stimulating questions, discussions, and support. The first and last authors would like to extend their gratitude to Colin Reid for helpful discussions, as well as their supervisors, Jacqui Ramagge and Marc Burger respectively, for their ongoing support and making attendance to the aforementioned workshop possible. This research was conducted while the first author was supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship

Conventions. All topological groups and uniform spaces are assumed Haus-

[6] If we can find an α-regressive trajectory converging to e modulo K and another one converging to e modulo L, we cannot expect to find an α-regressive trajectory which converges to e modulo $K \cap L$.

dorff. The automorphisms considered are continuous, with continuous inverse. A map $q\colon X \to Y$ between topological spaces is called a *quotient map* if it is surjective and Y carries the quotient topology (the final topology with respect to q). If X is a set and $\alpha\colon X \to X$ a map, we say that a subset $M \subseteq X$ is *α-invariant* if $\alpha(M) \subseteq M$. If $\alpha(M) = M$, we say that M is *α-stable*.[7] A sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ (or two-sided sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$) in a topological space X is called *bounded* if $\{x_n\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ (resp., $\{x_n\colon n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$) is relatively compact in X. If G is a totally disconnected, locally compact group, then $\mathrm{COS}(G)$ denotes the set of all compact open subgroups of G.

1 Dynamics on uniform spaces

Our main results are devoted to endomorphisms of topological groups, and involve domains of attraction around subgroups. It is useful for our purposes to formulate preparatory considerations in a more general setting: We consider domains of attraction around subsets S of a uniform space X, with respect to a continuous map $\alpha\colon X \to X$. As a reference for the theory of uniform spaces, we recommend [13].

1.1 Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{N}$ be a filter basis in X, i.e., a non-empty set of non-empty subsets $K \subseteq X$ such that for all $K, L \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists $M \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $M \subseteq K \cap L$. The following fact is well known: If each $K \in \mathcal{N}$ is compact and $\bigcap \mathcal{N} := \bigcap_{K\in\mathcal{N}} K = \{x\}$ for some $x \in X$, then the filter basis $\mathcal{N}$ converges to x, i.e., each neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ of x contains some $K \in \mathcal{N}$. More generally:

If each $K \in \mathcal{N}$ is compact and $U \subseteq X$ is an open subset with $\bigcap \mathcal{N} \subseteq U$, then there exists some $K \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $K \subseteq U$.

In fact, as $(K\setminus U)_{K\in\mathcal{N}}$ is a family of compact subsets with empty intersection, we find a finite subset $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\bigcap_{K\in\Phi}(K \setminus U) = \emptyset$. Thus $\bigcap_{K\in\Phi} K \subseteq U$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a filter basis, there exists a $K_U \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $K_U \subseteq K$ for all $K \in \Phi$. Then $K_U \subseteq \bigcap_{K\in\Phi} K \subseteq U$.

1.2 Let X be a set, $\alpha\colon X \to X$ be a map and $x \in X$. As usual, the sequence $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is called the *α-orbit* of x. A sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is called an α-

[7] This terminology is in line with [1]. By contrast, α-invariance in the sense of [14] means α-stability in our terminology.

regressive trajectory[8] for x if $x_0 = x$ and $\alpha(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A two-sided sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is called an *α-trajectory* (for x_0) if $\alpha(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following observation allows α-regressive trajectories to be created from a given sequence.

Lemma 1.3 *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, $\alpha\colon X \to X$ be a continuous map and $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X. Let $x_0 \in X$ and, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$z_n^{(m)} := \begin{cases} \alpha^{m-n}(z_m) & \text{if } n \in \{0, \ldots, m\}; \\ x_0 & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Thus $z^{(m)} := (z_n^{(m)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in X^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an accumulation point of $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $X^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ with respect to the product topology, then $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory.

Proof. Let $(z^{(m_a)})_{a\in A}$ be a convergent subnet of $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with limit $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $a_0 \in A$ such that $m_a \geq n$ for all $a \geq a_0$ and thus

$$\alpha(z_n^{(m_a)}) = z_{n-1}^{(m_a)}.$$

Since α is continuous, passing to the limit we obtain that $\alpha(y_n) = y_{n-1}$. Hence $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory. □

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $z_n^{(m)} = \alpha^{m-n}(z_m)$ for all $m \geq n$. Therefore, accumulation points of $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are independent of the choice of x_0.

Definition 1.4 We call $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} = ((z_n^{(m)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ (as in (8)) a *double sequence associated to $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with respect to α*. If X is a topological group, we shall always choose $x_0 := e$.

1.5 Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space, with filter $\mathcal{E}$ of entourages. For $V \in \mathcal{E}$ and $x \in X$, we write

$$V[x] := \{y \in X\colon (x, y) \in V\}.$$

[8] Instead, one could consider families $(x_n)_{n\in-\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $\alpha(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in -\mathbb{N}$, as in [27]. Our convention avoids excessive use of minus signs.

If M is a subset of X, we write $V[M] := \bigcup_{x\in M} V[x]$ for its uniform neighbourhood. Recall that, if $\overline{M}$ is the closure of M (in the topological space underlying $(X, \mathcal{E})$), then

$$\bigcap_{V\in\mathcal{E}} V[M] = \overline{M}. \tag{9}$$

By definition of a uniform space, for each $V \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{E}$ with

$$W \circ W := \{(x, y) \in X \times X \colon (\exists z \in X)\ (x, z), (z, y) \in W\} \subseteq V.$$

1.6 Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space. Given a subset $S \subseteq X$, we say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in X *converges to* S with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ (and write $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$) if, for each $V \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_n \in V[S]$ for all $n \geq N$. Let $\alpha\colon X \to X$ be a continuous map. The *domain of attraction of* S *with respect to* α is defined as

$$\mathrm{con}(\alpha, S) := \big\{x \in X \colon \alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{E}} S\big\}.$$

Given a subset $S \subseteq X$, we write $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, S)$ for the set of all $x \in X$ for which there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$.

1.7 Recall that the *right uniform structure* $\mathcal{R}$ on a topological group G is the filter generated by the filter basis of the sets

$$\widetilde{V} := \{(x, y) \in G \times G \colon y \in Vx\},$$

for V ranging through the set of identity neighbourhoods in G (see [12, (4.11)]). Then $\widetilde{V}[x] = Vx$ and $\widetilde{V}[M] = VM$ for subsets $M \subseteq G$. Thus, if $H \subseteq G$ is a closed subgroup and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence in G, then

$$x_n \to e \text{ modulo } H \text{ if and only if } x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} H.$$

If α is an endomorphism of G with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$, we deduce that the sets $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ and $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H)$ defined in the Introduction coincide with those obtained for the uniform space $(G, \mathcal{R})$.

1.8 Recall that a subset R of a uniform space $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is called *precompact* if, for each $V \in \mathcal{E}$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in X (or, equivalently, in R) such that

$$R \subseteq V[x_1] \cup \cdots \cup V[x_n].$$

Every compact subset $K \subseteq X$ is precompact. If $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is complete (e.g., in the case of $(G, \mathcal{R})$ with G a locally compact group, see [2, Paragraph 3.3, Corollary 1]), then a subset $R \subseteq G$ is precompact if and only if it is relatively compact (cf. [13, p. 22]).

The following variant of [1, Lemma 3.9] (devoted to locally compact groups) is very useful for our purposes; [14] already applied the latter lemma also for Hausdorff topological groups (without proof).

Lemma 1.9 *Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in a uniform space $(X, \mathcal{E})$.*

(a) *If $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} K$ for some precompact subset $K \subseteq X$, then $\{x_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} =: R$ is precompact in X. If $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} K$ and K is compact, then R is relatively compact and thus $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is bounded.*

(b) *If $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$ for a closed subset $S \subseteq X$, then each accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is contained in S.*

(c) *If $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is bounded and $S \subseteq X$ is closed, then $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$ if and only if each accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ lies in S.*

Proof. (a) If $V \in \mathcal{E}$, choose $W \in \mathcal{E}$ with $W \circ W \subseteq V$. Since K is assumed precompact, there is a finite subset $\Phi \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq W[\Phi]$. Hence $W[K] \subseteq W[W[\Phi]] = (W \circ W)[\Phi] \subseteq V[\Phi]$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_n \in W[K]$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence $R := \{x_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is contained in the finite union

$$V[\Phi] \cup \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} V[x_n],$$

and thus R is precompact.

If, moreover, K is compact, let us show that the closure $\overline{R}$ is complete in the uniform structure induced by $(X, \mathcal{E})$. Then $\overline{R}$, being a uniform space which is both precompact and complete, will be compact (see, e.g., [13, p. 22]). Let $y \in \overline{R}$ such that $y \notin R$; we show that $y \in K$. Let $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ be a net in R which converges to y. Given $U \in \mathcal{E}$, pick $V \in \mathcal{E}$ with $V \circ V \subseteq U$. There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_n \in V[K] \quad \text{for all } n \geq N. \tag{10}$$

Since none of $x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1}$ is an accumulation point of $(y_j)_{j\in J}$, we find $j_V \in J$ such that $y_j \notin \{x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1}\}$ for all $j \geq j_V$ and thus

$$y_j \in V[K] \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_V. \tag{11}$$

Since $y_j \to y$, we deduce that $y \in \overline{V[K]} \subseteq V[V[K]] \subseteq U[K]$. As $\bigcap_{U\in\mathcal{E}} U[K] = \overline{K} = K$, we see that $y \in K$.

If $\overline{R}$ was not complete, we could find a Cauchy net[9] $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ in $\overline{R}$ which does not converge in $\overline{R}$, and which therefore does not have a convergent subnet (nor an accumulation point). Make $\mathcal{E} \times J$ a directed set by declaring $(V_1, j_1) \leq (V_2, j_2)$ if $V_1 \supseteq V_2$ and $j_1 \leq j_2$. As before, for $U \in \mathcal{E}$ and $V \in \mathcal{E}$ with $V \circ V \subseteq U$, we can pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with (10) and find $j_V \in J$ such that (11) holds. Hence, with the induced order,

$$A := \{(V, j) \in \mathcal{E} \times J \colon y_j \in V[K]\}$$

is a directed set, and $(y_j)_{(V,j)\in A}$ is a subnet of $(y_j)_{j\in J}$. For $a = (V, j) \in A$, we find $k_a \in K$ such that

$$y_j \in V[k_a]. \tag{12}$$

Since K is compact, the net $(k_a)_{a\in A}$ has a subnet $(k_{a(i)})_{i\in I}$ which converges to some $k \in K$. Write $a(i) = (V(i), j(i))$. Given an entourage $U \in \mathcal{E}$, pick $V \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $V \circ V \subseteq U$. There exists $i_0 \in I$ such that

$$k_{a(i)} \in V[k]$$

and $V(i) \subseteq V$ for all $i \geq i_0$ in I. Hence, using (12),

$$y_{j(i)} \in V(i)[k_{a(i)}] \subseteq V[k_{a(i)}] \subseteq V[V[k]] \subseteq U[k]$$

for all $i \geq i_0$. The subnet $(y_{j(i)})_{i\in I}$ of $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ therefore converges to k, contrary to our assumption. Thus $(y_j)_{j\in J}$ cannot exist and $\overline{R}$ must be complete.

(b) Assume that a subnet $(x_{n(j)})_{j\in J}$ of the given sequence converges to $x \in S$. For all $U \in \mathcal{E}$ and $V \in \mathcal{E}$ with $V \circ V \subseteq U$, we find $j_0 \in J$ such that $x_{n(j)} \in V[x]$ for all $j \geq j_0$ and thus

$$x \in \overline{V[x]} \subseteq (V \circ V)[x] \subseteq U[x] \subseteq U[S].$$

Hence $x \in \bigcap_{U\in\mathcal{E}} U[S] = \overline{S} = S$.

(c) If not $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$, then there exists $V \in \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$J := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \colon x_n \notin V[S]\}$$

[9]For each $V \in \mathcal{E}$, one requires $(y_i, y_j) \in V$ for all large i, j.

is an infinite set. After shrinking V, we may assume that $V[S]$ is open. Now $P := \{x_n \colon n \in J\}$ has compact closure L in X. Since P is a subset of the closed set $X \setminus V[S]$, also $L \subseteq X \setminus V[S]$. Now L being compact, the subnet $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ has a subnet which converges to some $c \in L$. Then c is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $c \notin V[S]$ and hence $c \notin S$. Together with (b), this establishes (c). □

Lemma 1.10 *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space and $(A_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of closed subsets of X, with $J \neq \emptyset$. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} A_j$ for each $j \in J$. If A_{j_0} is compact for some $j_0 \in J$, then*

$$x_n \ \to_{\mathcal{E}} \bigcap_{j\in J} A_j. \tag{13}$$

Proof. As A_{j_0} is compact and $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} A_{j_0}$, the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is bounded, by Lemma 1.9 (a). If $c \in X$ is an accumulation point of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, then $c \in A_j$ for each $j \in J$, by Lemma 1.9 (b), and thus $c \in \bigcap_{j\in J} A_j =: A$. Hence $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} A$, by Lemma 1.9 (c). □

Lemma 1.11 *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space, $\alpha \colon X \to X$ be a continuous map and $(A_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of closed subsets of X, with $J \neq \emptyset$. If A_{j_0} is compact for some $j_0 \in J$, then*

$$\bigcap_{j\in J} \operatorname{con}(\alpha, A_j) = \operatorname{con}\left(\alpha, \bigcap_{j\in J} A_j\right). \tag{14}$$

Proof. If $x \in \bigcap_{j\in J} \operatorname{con}(\alpha, A_j)$, then $\alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{E}} A_j$ for each $j \in J$, whence $\alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{E}} \bigcap_{j\in J} A_j =: A$ (by Lemma 1.10) and thus $x \in \operatorname{con}(\alpha, A)$. Thus $\bigcap_{j\in J} \operatorname{con}(\alpha, A_j) \subseteq \operatorname{con}(\alpha, A)$. The converse inclusion trivially holds. □

Definition 1.12 If X is a Hausdorff topological space, $K \subseteq X$ a compact subset and $\alpha \colon X \to X$ a continuous map, we define

$$K_- := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-n}(K) \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_+ := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} K_{n,\alpha},$$

where $K_{0,\alpha} := K$ and $K_{n,\alpha} := K \cap \alpha(K_{n-1,\alpha})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For α an endomorphism of a totally disconnected locally compact group, the preceding sets were already introduced in [27] (as recalled in the Introduction). The following properties are useful:

Lemma 1.13 *For X, α, K, $K_{n,\alpha}$, K_+, K_- as in Definition* 1.12*, we have:*

(a) *K_- is a compact subset of K. It is the set of all $x \in K$ such that $\alpha^n(x) \in K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, $\alpha(K_-) \subseteq K_-$.*

(b) *$K_{n,\alpha}$ is a compact subset of K, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It is the set of all $x \in K$ for which there exist $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in K$ such that $x_0 = x$ and $\alpha(x_j) = x_{j-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.*

(c) *K_+ is a compact subset of K. It is the set of all $x \in K$ for which there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $x_0 = x$ and $x_n \in K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, $K_+ \subseteq \alpha(K_+)$.*

(d) *$K_+ \cap K_-$ is a compact subset of K. It is the set of all $x \in K$ for which there exists a family $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of elements $x_n \in K$ such that $x_0 = x$ and $\alpha(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\alpha(K_+ \cap K_-) = K_+ \cap K_-$.*

If X is a topological group, α an endomorphism and K a compact subgroup of X, then also K_+, K_- and each $K_{n,\alpha}$ are compact subgroups of X.

Proof. (a) The set K_- is compact in K as a closed subset of K. The remaining assertions hold by construction.

(b) By definition, each $K_{n,\alpha}$ is a compact subset of K (and a subgroup if so is K). It is clear that $K_{0,\alpha} = K$ can be characterized as described in (b). If the characterization applies to $K_{n,\alpha}$, then also to $K_{n+1,\alpha}$:

In fact, if $x \in K_{n+1,\alpha} = K \cap \alpha(K_{n,\alpha})$, then $x \in K$ and $x = \alpha(y)$ for some $y \in K_{n,\alpha}$. Pick $y_0, \ldots, y_n \in K$ such that $y_0 = y$ and $\alpha(y_j) = y_{j-1}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Set $x_0 := x$, $x_j := y_{j-1}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$. Then $x_j \in K$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ and $\alpha(x_j) = x_{j-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$.

Conversely, let $x \in K$ for which there exist $x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in K$ with $x_0 = x$ and $\alpha(x_j) = x_{j-1}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$. Looking at $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}$, we get $x_1 \in K_{n,\alpha}$ by the inductive hypothesis. So $x = \alpha(x_1) \in K \cap \alpha(K_{n,\alpha}) = K_{n+1,\alpha}$.

(c) As an intersection of compact subsets (resp., subgroups), K_+ is a compact subset (resp., subgroup) of X. If x has an α-regressive trajectory in K, then

$x \in K_{n,\alpha}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (by (b)) and thus $x \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} K_{n,\alpha} = K_+$.

If, conversely, $x \in K_+$, then (b) allows us to pick

$$x_0^{(m)}, x_1^{(m)}, \ldots, x_m^{(m)} \in K$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_0^{(m)} = x$ and $\alpha(x_n^{(m)}) = x_{n-1}^{(m)}$ for all $n \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Define $x_n^{(m)} := e$ for $n > m$. Then

$$x^{(m)} := (x_n^{(m)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in K^{\mathbb{N}_0}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As $K^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ is compact in the product topology, the sequence $(x^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ has an accumulation point $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in K^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. Then y is an α-regressive trajectory, by Lemma 1.3. As $x_0^{(m)} = x$ for all m, also $y_0 = x$.

If $x \in K_+$, pick an α-regressive trajetory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in K with $x_0 = x$. Then $(x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory in K for x_1, whence $x_1 \in K_+$ and $x = \alpha(x_1) \in \alpha(K_+)$.

(d) As both K_+ and K_- are compact subsets of X, so is their intersection. Combining (a) and (c), the desired characterization of elements in $K_+ \cap K_-$ is obtained. Now let $x \in K_+ \cap K_-$ and choose $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as in (d). Set $y_n := x_{n+1}$ and $z_n := x_{n-1}$. Then $y_n, z_n \in K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\alpha(y_n) = \alpha(x_{n+1}) = x_{n+2} = y_{n+1}$$

as well as $\alpha(z_n) = \alpha(x_{n-1}) = x_n = z_{n+1}$, showing that $y_0, z_0 \in K_+ \cap K_-$. Thus $\alpha(x) = x_1 = y_0 \in K_+ \cap K_-$ (showing that $\alpha(K_+ \cap K_-) \subseteq K_+ \cap K_-$) and $x_0 = \alpha(x_{-1})$ with $x_{-1} = z_0 \in K_+ \cap K_-$, whence also $K_+ \cap K_- \supseteq \alpha(K_+ \cap K_-)$ and thus $\alpha(K_+ \cap K_-) = K_+ \cap K_-$. □

Lemma 1.14 *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space, $\alpha\colon X \to X$ be a continuous map and $K \subseteq X$ be a compact subset. Then*

$$\operatorname{con}(\alpha, K) = \operatorname{con}(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-) \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{con}^-(\alpha, K) = \operatorname{con}^-(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-).$$

Moreover, every α-regressive trajectory which converges to K in $(X, \mathcal{E})$ also converges to $K_+ \cap K_-$.

Proof. To prove the first assertion, we need only show that $\operatorname{con}(\alpha, K) \subseteq \operatorname{con}(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-)$ (as the other inclusion is trivial). If $x \in \operatorname{con}(\alpha, K)$, then

$\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo K, whence $R := \{\alpha^n(x)\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact in X (see Lemma 1.9 (a)). Thus, by Lemma 1.9 (c), we shall have $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-)$ if we can show that each accumulation point c of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an element of $K_+ \cap K_-$. By Lemma 1.9 (b), we know that each accumulation point of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an element of K. Let $(\alpha^{n_a}(x))_{a\in A}$ be a subnet which converges to c. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the subnet

$$(\alpha^{n_a+m}(x))_{a\in A} = (\alpha^m(\alpha^{n_a}(x)))_{a\in A}$$

of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to $\alpha^m(c)$, by continuity of α^m. Thus $\alpha^m(c)$ is an accumulation point of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and thus $\alpha^m(c) \in K$. Hence $c \in \bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-m}(K) = K_-$. To see that also $c \in K_+$ (which shows our first claims), let us show that $c \in K_{m,\alpha}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There is $a_m \in A$ such that $n_a \geq m$ for all $a \geq a_m$. Then $(\alpha^{n_a-m}(x))_{a\geq a_m}$ is a subnet of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and hence has a subnet converging to some $b \in K$. Applying α^j to the entries of the latter subnet (for given $j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$), we obtain a convergent net with limit $\alpha^j(b) =: x_{m-j}$, which has to be an element of K as the convergent net is a subnet of $(\alpha^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. For $j = m$, the convergent net just constructed is a subnet of $(\alpha^{n_a}(x))_{a\geq a_m}$; its limit $x_0 = \alpha^m(b)$ therefore has to coincide with c. Thus $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m \in K$, $x_0 = c$ and

$$\alpha(x_j) = \alpha(\alpha^{m-j}(b)) = \alpha^{m-(j-1)}(b) = x_{j-1}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, whence $c \in K_{m,\alpha}$ (by Lemma 1.13 (b)).

To complete the proof, it suffices to prove the final statement as it entails that $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K) \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-)$ (and the other inclusion trivially holds). Thus, let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory in G such that $x_n \to e$ modulo K. By Lemma 1.9 (a), the closure

$$L := \overline{\{x_n\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}} \subseteq X$$

is compact. Hence, by Lemma 1.9 (c), $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ will converge to e modulo $K_+ \cap K_-$ if we can show that each accumulation point c of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an element of $K_+ \cap K_-$. By Lemma 1.9 (b), we have $c \in K$. Now $\alpha(c)$ is an accumulation point of $(\alpha(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, by continuity of α, and hence also an accumulation point of $(\alpha(x_{n+1}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. Thus, the set C of accumulation points of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is α-invariant, i.e., $\alpha(C) \subseteq C$. Hence $C \subseteq K_-$.

For c as before and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(x_{n_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ be a subnet of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ which

converges to c. Then $t_\beta := (x_{n_\beta}, x_{n_\beta+1}, \ldots, x_{n_\beta+m})_{\beta\in B}$ is a net in the compact topological space L^{m+1} and hence has a convergent subnet, with limit $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in L^{m+1}$, say. Then $c_0 = c$ and $\alpha(c_j) = c_{j-1}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ (as the entries of each t_β have this property and α is continuous). Thus $c \in K_{m,\alpha}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and thus

$$c \in \bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} K_{m,\alpha} = K_+.$$

Hence $c \in K_+ \cap K_-$, as required. □

We shall always apply Lemma 1.14 to endomorphisms of topological groups G. Once, in the proof of Lemma 12.1 (d), $K \subseteq G$ will not be a subgroup but an orbit closure.

We close the section with some straightforward observations, for later use.

Lemma 1.15 *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a uniformly continuous map between uniform spaces $(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{F})$ (e.g., a continuous homomorphism between topological groups). Let $S \subseteq X$ be a subset.*

(a) *If $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$, then $f(x_n) \to_{\mathcal{F}} f(S)$.*

(b) *If $\alpha\colon X \to X$ and $\beta\colon Y \to Y$ are continuous maps such that $\beta \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, then $f(\mathrm{con}(\alpha, S)) \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\beta, f(S))$.*

Proof. (a) If $U \in \mathcal{F}$, then $V := (f \times f)^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{E}$, whence there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_n \in V[S]$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus, for such n, there is $s_n \in S$ such that $x_n \in V[s_n]$ and thus $(s_n, x_n) \in V$. Hence $(f(s_n), f(x_n)) \in U$ and thus $f(x_n) \in U[f(s_n)] \subseteq U[f(S)]$. So $f(x_n) \to_{\mathcal{F}} f(S)$.

(b) For $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, S)$, we have $\beta^n(f(x)) = f(\alpha^n(x)) \to_{\mathcal{F}} f(S)$, by (a). □

The next lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.16 *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be a uniform space, $S \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ be subsets and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in Y such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{E}} S$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the uniform structure induced by $\mathcal{E}$ on Y. Then also $x_n \to_{\mathcal{F}} S$.* □

Lemma 1.17 *Let G be a topological group, $S \subseteq G$ be a subgroup and $K \subseteq G$.*

(a) *If $K \subseteq S$ and S is α-invariant for an endomorphism α of G, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, K) \cap S = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_S, K)$.*

(b) *If S is open and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence in S such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} K$ in G, then $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} K \cap S$ in G (and in S).*

Proof. (a) Using the inclusion map $f\colon S \to G$ and $\beta := \alpha|_S$, Lemma 1.15 yields $\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_S, K) \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K) \cap S$. If, conversely, $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K) \cap S$, then $\alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{R}} K$ in G and hence in S (by Lemma 1.16), whence $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_S, K)$.

(b) If $V \subseteq G$ is an identity neighbourhood such that $V \subseteq S$, then $x_n \in VK$ if and only if $x_n \in V(K\cap S)$. Hence $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} K$ in G if and only if $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} (K\cap S)$ in G. By Lemma 1.16, then also $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} (K \cap S)$ in S. □

2 Proof of Theorem A for metrizable G

In this section we prove Theorem A in the metrizable case. We include two lemmas the first one of which concerns how tidyness above passes to subgroups.

Lemma 2.1 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Let $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant closed subgroup and $W \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then there exists $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

$$\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(W)\right) \cap H$$

is tidy above for $\alpha|_H$, for all $\ell \geq \ell_0$.

Proof. Since $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H \cap \bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(W) &= \{w \in H\colon (\forall j = 0, \ldots, \ell)\ \alpha^j(w) \in W\} \\ &= \{w \in H\colon (\forall j = 0, \ldots, \ell)\ \alpha^j(w) \in H \cap W\} \\ &= \bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} (\alpha|_H)^{-j}(H \cap W). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By [27, Proposition 3], for large enough ℓ, the group in (15) is tidy above for $\alpha|_H$. □

To prove Theorem A, we shall use the following lemma (which parallels the case of automorphisms formulated in [1, Lemma 3.10]):

Lemma 2.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $H \subseteq G$ be a closed subgroup. Assume that*

(i) $\alpha(H) = H$*; or*

(ii) H *is compact.*

Let $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ and $O \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then there exists $h \in H$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha^n(xh) \in O$ for all $n \geq N$ and $\alpha^n(xh) \to e$ modulo $(O \cap H)_+ \cap (O \cap H)_-$ (which is a compact subgroup of $O \cap H$).

Proof. If H is compact, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H_+ \cap H_-)$, where $H_+ \cap H_-$ is compact and $\alpha(H_+ \cap H_-) = H_+ \cap H_-$ (see Lemma 1.13 (d) and Lemma 1.14). After replacing H with $H_+ \cap H_-$, we may therefore assume that $\alpha(H) = H$. Thus, it suffices to discuss the case (i).

Assume $\alpha(H) = H$ now. After shrinking O if necessary, we may assume that

$$O \cap H = (O \cap H)_-(O \cap H)_+,$$

by Lemma 2.1. Let $V \subseteq O$ be a compact open subgroup such that $\alpha(V) \subseteq O$. Since $\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo H, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha^n(x) \in VH$ for all $n \geq N$. As $\alpha^N(H) = H$, we find $h_0 \in H$ with $\alpha^N(xh_0) \in V$. We now complete h_0 to a sequence $(h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of elements in $h_0(O \cap H)$ such that

$$\alpha^{N+j}(xh_i) \in V(O \cap H) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

Suppose that $h_0, \ldots, h_k$ have already been constructed to satisfy this condition. Using that $\alpha(O \cap H) \subseteq (O \cap H)\alpha((O \cap H)_+)$, we obtain

$$\alpha^{N+k+1}(xh_k) \in \alpha(V(O \cap H)) \subseteq O\alpha(O \cap H) \subseteq O\alpha((O \cap H)_+).$$

Choose $\ell_{k+1} \in \alpha((O \cap H)_+)$ such that $\alpha^{N+k+1}(xh_k)\ell_{k+1} \in O$. There exists an α-regressive trajectory $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for ℓ_{k+1} such that $g_n \in O \cap H$ for all $n \geq 1$. We define

$$h_{k+1} := h_k g_{N+k+1}.$$

Then $h_{k+1} \in h_0(O \cap H)$ and $\alpha^{N+k+1}(xh_{k+1}) \in O$. Moreover, $\alpha^{N+k+1}(xh_{k+1}) = \alpha^{N+k+1}(x)\alpha^{N+k+1}(h_{k+1}) \in VH$ and thus

$$\alpha^{N+k+1}(xh_{k+1}) \in O \cap VH = V(O \cap H).$$

For all $j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$, we have

$$\alpha^{N+j}(xh_{k+1}) = \alpha^{N+j}(xh_k)\alpha^{N+j}(g_{N+k+1}) = \alpha^{N+j}(xh_k)g_{k-j+1} \in V(O \cap H)$$

as well, whence h_{k+1} is as desired. This completes the recursive construction.

Let h be an accumulation point of the sequence $(h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $h_0(O \cap H) \subseteq H$. Then $\alpha^n(xh)$ is in the compact set $V(O \cap H)$ for all $n \geq N$, and so $\alpha^n(xh) \in O$. Thus $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, O)$. As also $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$, Lemma 1.11 shows that $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, O \cap H)$. Hence $\alpha^n(xh) \to e$ modulo $(O \cap H)_+ \cap (O \cap H)_-$, by Lemma 1.14. □

2.3 For the proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B we recall that a topological group is metrizable if and only if it is Hausdorff and first-countable, see for instance [12, (8.3)].

Proof of Theorem A, for metrizable *G*. The inclusion $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)H \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$ is trivial. To establish the converse inclusion, we adapt the proof of [1, Theorem 3.8]: Since G is assumed metrizable, using 2.3 we find a sequence $O_1 \supseteq O_2 \supseteq \cdots$ of compact open subgroups of G which form a basis of identity neighbourhoods. Set $H_0 := H$ and

$$H_j := (O_j \cap H_{j-1})_+ \cap (O_j \cap H_{j-1})_- \subseteq O_j \cap H_{j-1} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $x_0 \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$. We claim that, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist elements $x_j \in x_{j-1}H_{j-1}$ and integers $N_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\alpha^n(x_j) \to e \text{ modulo } H_j$$

and $\alpha^n(x_j) \in O_j$ for all $n \geq N_j$.

In fact, Lemma 2.2 (with x_0 in place of x and O_1 in place of O) provides $h_0 \in H_0 = H$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_1 := x_0h_0$ satisfies $\alpha^n(x_1) \in O_1$ for all $n \geq N_1$ and $\alpha^n(x_1) \to e$ modulo $(O_1 \cap H)_+ \cap (O_1 \cap H)_- = H_1$. This settles the case $j = 1$.

If $x_1, \ldots, x_j$ have been constructed, we can apply Lemma 2.2 with x_j in place of x, O_{j+1} in place of O and H_j in place of H. We obtain an $h_j \in H_j$ and $N_{j+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_{j+1} := x_jh_j$ satisfies $\alpha^n(x_{j+1}) \in O_{j+1}$ for all $n \geq N_{j+1}$ and $\alpha^n(x_{j+1}) \to e$ modulo $(O_{j+1} \cap H_j)_+ \cap (O_{j+1} \cap H_j)_- = H_{j+1}$. This completes the recursive construction.

Note that

$$x_i \in x_j H_j \cdots H_{i-1} \subseteq x_j O_j \cdots O_{i-1} = x_j O_j$$

for all $i \geq j \geq 1$. Hence $(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G (for the left uniform structure) and hence convergent, as G is locally compact and therefore complete by [2, Paragraph 3.3, Corollary 1]. Let

$$y := \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \quad \text{and} \quad h := x_0^{-1} y.$$

Since $x_j \in x_0 H_0 \cdots H_{j-1} \subseteq x_0 H$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, passing to the limit we deduce that $y \in x_0 H$ and thus $h \in H$.

To see that $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, let $W \subseteq G$ be an identity neighbourhood. After shrinking W, we may assume that $W = O_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. As

$$x_i \in x_j H_j \cdots H_{i-1} \subseteq x_j H_j$$

for all $i \geq j$, we deduce that $y \in x_j H_j$ and thus

$$\alpha^n(y) \in \alpha^n(x_j)\alpha^n(H_j) = \alpha^n(x_j) H_j \subseteq O_j O_j = O_j = W$$

for all $n \geq N_j$. Hence $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, which completes the proof. □

3 Proof of Theorem B for metrizable G

In this section we prove Theorem B for metrizable G, using the following analogue of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group. Let $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism and $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant closed subgroup. Let $O \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup, $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H)$ and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory for x such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H. Then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that*

$$y_n \in O \quad \textit{for all } n \geq N,$$

$y_n \in x_n H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $y_n \to e$ modulo $(H \cap O)_+ \cap (H \cap O)_-$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, after replacing O with $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(O)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we may assume that $O \cap H$ is tidy above for $\alpha|_H$, i.e.,

$$H \cap O = (H \cap O)_+ (H \cap O)_-. \tag{16}$$

We choose a compact open subgroup $V \subseteq O$ such that $\alpha(V) \subseteq O$. Since $x_n \to e$ modulo H, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$x_n \in VH \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

We show by induction that there exist elements $z_j \in x_{N+j}H$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with

$$\alpha^i(z_j) \in V(H \cap O) \quad \text{for all } \ i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, j\}.$$

Since $x_N \in VH$, we have $x_N \in z_0 H$ for some $z_0 \in V$. Then z_0 is as required.

If $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and z_j has already been found, then $z_j \in x_{N+j}H$ implies that

$$z_j = x_{N+j}a$$

for some $a \in H$. Since $x_{N+j+1} \in VH$, we can write

$$x_{N+j+1} = vb$$

with $v \in V$ and $b \in H$. Thus

$$z_j = x_{N+j}a = \alpha(x_{N+j+1})a = \alpha(v)\alpha(b)a,$$

with $\alpha(b) \in \alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Since $z_j \in V(H \cap O) \subseteq O$ and $\alpha(v) \in \alpha(V) \subseteq O$, we conclude that $\alpha(b)a \in H \cap O$ and thus

$$\alpha(b)a = h_+ h_-$$

for suitable $h_+ \in (H \cap O)_+$ and $h_- \in (H \cap O)_-$. Since $\alpha((H \cap O)_+) \supseteq (H \cap O)_+$, we find $h \in (H \cap O)_+$ such that $h_+ = \alpha(h)$. Thus

$$z_{j+1} := vh \in V(H \cap O)_+ \subseteq V(H \cap O)$$

and $\alpha(z_{j+1}) = \alpha(v)h_+ = z_j(h_-)^{-1}$, entailing that for $i \in \{1, \ldots, j+1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^i(z_{j+1}) &= \alpha^{i-1}(\alpha(z_{j+1})) = \alpha^{i-1}(z_j)\alpha^{i-1}(h_-)^{-1} \\ &\in V(H \cap O)(H \cap O)_- = V(H \cap O). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define the sequence $g^{(j)} = (g_i^{(j)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in $V(H \cap O)$ via

$$g_i^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \alpha^{j-i}(z_j) & \text{if } i \in \{0, \ldots, j\}; \\ e & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then $(g^{(j)})_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence in the compact space $(V(H\cap O))^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and hence has a convergent subnet $(g^{(j_a)})_{a\in A}$, whose limit $f=(f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory by Lemma 1.3 (a). Then also

$$y_n := \begin{cases} f_{n-N} & \text{if } n\geq N \\ \alpha^{N-n}(f_0) & \text{if } n\in\{0,1,\ldots,N\} \end{cases}$$

is an α-regressive trajectory. Let $i\in\mathbb{N}_0$; since

$$g_i^{(j)} = \alpha^{j-i}(z_j) \in x_{N+i}H$$

for all $j\geq i$ and $x_{N+i}H$ is closed, we deduce that

$$y_{N+i} = f_i \in x_{N+i}H.$$

In particular, $f_0 = x_N h_0$ for some $h_0\in H$ and thus also

$$y_n = \alpha^{N-n}(f_N) = \alpha^{N-n}(x_N)\alpha^{N-n}(h_0) = x_n\alpha^{N-n}(h_0)\in x_nH$$

for $n\in\{0,1,\ldots,N\}$.

Since $y_n\in V(H\cap O)\subseteq O$ for $n\geq N$, we have $y_n\to e$ modulo O. As $y_n\in x_nH$ and $x_n\to e$ modulo H, also $y_n\to e$ modulo H. Hence $y_n\to e$ modulo $H\cap O$, by Lemma 1.10, and thus $y_n\to e$ modulo $(H\cap O)_+\cap(H\cap O)_-$, by Lemma 1.14. □

Proof of Theorem B for metrizable G. The inclusion $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)H\subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha,H)$ is trivial. Let $y_0\in\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha,H)$. Thus y_0 has an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n^{(0)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with

$$y_n^{(0)}\to e \ \text{ modulo } \ H.$$

Let $(O^{(j)})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact open subgroups $O^{(1)}\supseteq O^{(2)}\supseteq\cdots$ of G which forms a basis of identity neighbourhoods. Set $H_0 := H$ and

$$H_j := (O^{(j)}\cap H_{j-1})_+\cap(O^{(j)}\cap H_{j-1})_-\subseteq O^{(j)}\cap H_{j-1} \ \text{ for } j\in\mathbb{N}.$$

We claim that there exist integers $N_j\in\mathbb{N}_0$ for $j\in\mathbb{N}$ and α-regressive trajectories $y^{(j)}=(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that

$$y_n^{(j)}\to e \ \text{ modulo } \ H_j,$$

$y_n^{(j)} \in W^{(j)}$ for all $n \geq N_j$, and $y_n^{(j)} \in y_n^{(j-1)} H_{j-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

In fact, Lemma 3.1 (with y_0 in place of x and $O^{(1)}$ in place of O) provides $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n^{(1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $y_n^{(1)} \in y_n^{(0)} H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$y_n^{(1)} \in O^{(1)} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_1,$$

and $y^{(1)} \to e$ modulo $(O^{(1)} \cap H)_+ \cap (O^{(1)} \cap H)_- = H_1$. This settles the case $j = 1$.

If $N_1, \ldots, N_j$ and α-regressive trajectories $(y_n^{(1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}, \ldots (y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ have been constructed, we can apply Lemma 3.1 with $y_0^{(j)}$ in place of x, $(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in place of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $O^{(j+1)}$ in place of O and H_j in place of H. We obtain an integer $N_{j+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and an α-regressive trajectory $y^{(j+1)} = (y_n^{(j+1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $y_n^{(j+1)} \in O^{(j+1)}$ for all $n \geq N_{j+1}$, and moreover $y_n^{(j+1)} \in y_n^{(j)} H_j$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $y_n^{(j+1)} \to e$ modulo $(O^{(j+1)} \cap H_j)_+ \cap (O^{(j+1)} \cap H_j)_- = H_{j+1}$. This completes the recursive construction.

We have $y_n^{(j+1)} \in y_n^{(j)} H_j \subseteq y_n^{(j)} O^{(j)} \subseteq y_n^{(j)} O^{(1)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $y_n^{(j)} \in y_n^{(1)} O^{(1)}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, showing that

$$y^{(j)} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} y_n^{(1)} O^{(1)}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The product being compact and metrizable, we find a convergent subsequence of $(y^{(j)})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, with limit $z = (z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} y_n^{(1)} O^{(1)}$, say. As each $y^{(j)}$ is an α-regressive trajectory and α is continuous, we see that also $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory. Since $y_0^{(j)} \in y_0^{(0)} H = y_0 H$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_0 H$ is closed, we have $z_0 \in y_0 H$.

If $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $y_n^{(i)} \in y_n^{(j)} O^{(j)}$ for all $i \geq j$, entailing that also $z_n \in y_n^{(j)} O^{(j)}$. Hence $z_n \in O^{(j)}$ for all $n \geq N_j$, whence $z_n \to e$ and thus $z_0 \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$. □

4 Metrizable quotient groups

This section prepares the discussion of endomorphisms of non-metrizable groups. As in the case of automorphisms treated in [14], we consider metric quotients of such as a tool.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be a locally compact group and N a compact normal subgroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *G/N is metrizable.*

(b) *There exists a sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open identity neighbourhoods $V_n \subseteq G$ such that $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n = N$.*

Proof. Let $q\colon G \to G/N$, $x \mapsto xN$ be the canonical quotient map, which is continuous and open. (a)⇒(b): If G/N is metrizable, then G/N has a countable basis $\{W_n\colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open identity neighbourhoods, and the sets $V_n := q^{-1}(W_n)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are as desired.

(b)⇒(a): Let $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open identity neighbourhoods in G with intersection N. As N is compact, the open neighbourhood V_n of N contains a uniform neighbourhood of the form K_nN, for some identity neighbourhood $K_n \subseteq G$. Since G is locally compact, K_n can be chosen compact. We may also assume that $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \cdots$. Thus

$$\mathcal{U} := \{q(K_n)\colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a filter basis of compact identity neighbourhoods in G/N. Now $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} q(K_n) = \{e\}$ (as the sets $q^{-1}(q(K_n)) = K_nN$ have intersection N), entailing that $\mathcal{U}$ converges to $\{e\}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}$ is a countable basis of identity neighbourhoods in G/N and thus G/N is metrizable by 2.3. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let G be a locally compact group and $\mathcal{N}$ be a countable set of closed normal subgroups $N \subseteq G$ such that G/N is metrizable. Set $M := \bigcap_{N\in\mathcal{N}} N$. Then also G/M is metrizable.*

Proof. For $N \in \mathcal{N}$, let $q_N\colon G \to G/N$ be the canonical quotient map. Also, let $q_M\colon G \to G/M$ be the canonical quotient map. Then

$$\phi := (q_N)_{N\in\mathcal{N}}\colon G \to \prod_{N\in\mathcal{N}} G/N, \quad x \mapsto (xN)_{N\in\mathcal{N}}$$

is a continuous homomorphism to a metrizable topological group. As the kernel is $\ker(\phi) = M$, the induced continuous homomorphism

$$\overline{\phi}\colon G/M \to \prod_{N\in\mathcal{N}} G/N$$

(determined by $\overline{\phi} \circ q_M = \phi$) is injective. If $K \subseteq G$ is a compact identity neighbourhood in G, then $q_M(K)$ is a compact identity neighbourhood in G/M. Since $\overline{\phi}(q_M(K))$ is metrizable and $\overline{\phi}$ restricts to a homeomorphism from the compact set $q_M(K)$ onto $\overline{\phi}(q_M(K))$, we see that $q_M(K)$ is metrizable. Since $q_M(K)$ is an identity neighbourhood in G/M, this topological group has a countable basis of identity neighbourhoods and hence is metrizable. □

Remark 4.3 If G is a locally compact group, $M \subseteq G$ a σ-compact subset and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ an endomorphism, then there exists an α-invariant, σ-compact open subgroup $S \subseteq G$ such that $M \subseteq S$. To see this, let $V \subseteq G$ be a compact identity neighbourhood. Then the subgroup S of G generated by the σ-compact set

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(M \cup V)$$

is σ-compact and open. Moreover, $M \subseteq S$ and $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let G be a σ-compact, locally compact group, and $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be an endomorphism. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of all compact, normal subgroups $K \subseteq G$ such that $\alpha(K) \subseteq K$ and G/K is metrizable. Then $\bigcap \mathcal{K} = \{e\}$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq G$ be a neighbourhood of e. By [12, (8.7)], G has a compact, normal subgroup N such that $N \subseteq U$ and G/N is metrizable. Hence

$$N = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$$

for suitable open identity neighbourhoods $V_n \subseteq G$, by Lemma 4.1. Then

$$K := \bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-m}(N) = \bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{-m}(V_n)$$

is an α-invariant, compact normal subgroup of G contained in U. As K is an intersection of a countable set of open identity neighbourhoods, G/K is metrizable (by Lemma 4.1). □

The following fact is useful for our purposes.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $(I, \leq)$ be a directed set and $\mathcal{S} := ((G_j)_{j\in I}, (q_{i,j})_{i\leq j})$ be a projective system of topological groups G_j and continuous homomorphisms $q_{i,j}\colon G_j \to G_i$. Let G be a topological group and $(q_j)_{j\in I}$ be a family of continuous homomorphisms $q_j\colon G \to G_j$ such that $q_{i,j} \circ q_j = q_i$ for $i \leq j$ in I. If $\bigcap_{j\in I} \ker(q_j) = \{e\}$ and q_j is a quotient homomorphism with compact kernel for each $j \in I$, then $(G, (q_j)_{j\in I})$ is the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Consider the standard realization of the projective limit

$$P := \Big\{(x_j)_{j\in I} \in \prod_{j\in I} G_j \colon q_{i,j}(x_j) = x_i \text{ for all } i \le j \text{ in } I\Big\}$$

with limit maps $\pi_j \colon P \to G_j$, $(x_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto x_j$. Then

$$\phi \colon G \to P, \quad x \mapsto (q_j(x))_{j\in I}$$

is a continuous homomorphism, which is injective as $\bigcap_{j\in I} \ker(q_j) = \{e\}$. Since $\{\ker(q_j) \colon j \in I\}$ is a filter basis of compact sets with intersection $\{e\}$, it converges to e. Thus, if $U \subseteq G$ is an open identity neighbourhood, we find $j \in I$ such that $\ker(q_j) \subseteq U$. Since every open neighbourhood of a compact set contains a uniform neighbourhood, we find an open identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$ such that $U \supseteq V\ker(q_j)$. Then $q_j(V) \subseteq G_j$ is an open identity neighbourhood and $q_j^{-1}(q_j(V)) = V\ker(q_j)$. For $x \in G$, we have $\phi(x) \in \pi_j^{-1}(q_j(V))$ if and only if $q_j(V) \ni \pi_j(\phi(x)) = q_j(x)$, i.e., if and only if $x \in V\ker(q_j)$. Thus

$$\phi(V\ker(q_j)) = \phi(G) \cap \pi_j^{-1}(q_j(V))$$

is an open identity neighbourhood in $\phi(G)$. Hence also $\phi(U) \subseteq \phi(G)$ is an identity neighbourhood, entailing that the homomorphism ϕ is an open map onto its image and hence a topological embedding. To see that ϕ is surjective, let $(x_j)_{j\in I} \in P$. Then $q_j^{-1}(\{x_j\}) \subseteq q_i^{-1}(\{x_i\})$ for $i \le j$ in I. Hence $\mathcal{N} := \{q_j^{-1}(\{x_j\}) \colon j \in I\}$ is a filter basis of compact sets and thus $\bigcap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{N}$. Then $q_j(x) = x_j$ for all $j \in I$ and thus $\phi(x) = (x_j)_{j\in I}$. □

Remark 4.6 (a) In the situation of Lemma 4.5, let $(x_j)_{j\in I}$ be a family of elements $x_j \in G_j$ such that $x_i = q_{i,j}(x_j)$ if $i \le j$. Then $(x_j)_{j\in I} \in P$ and thus there exists a unique element $x \in G$ such that $q_j(x) = x_j$ for all $j \in I$.

(b) If $H \subseteq G$ is a closed subgroup in the situation of Lemma 4.5, then $(H, (q_j|_H)_{j\in I})$ is the projective limit of $((q_j(H))_{j\in I}, (q_{ij}|_{q_j(H)})_{i\le j})$.

In fact, since q_j is a quotient homomorphism with compact kernel, it is a closed mapping (see [12, (5.18)]), whence $q_j|_H \colon H \to q_j(H)$ is a surjective, continuous, closed mapping and hence a quotient map. We can therefore apply Lemma 4.5 with H in place of G.

In the following, we write $|X|$ for the cardinality of a set X. If k is an ordinal number, we write $[0,k[$ for the set of ordinals $j < k$. For each cardinal number c, the set of ordinal numbers with cardinality c has a minimum element k. Thus $[0,k[$ has cardinality c, while $[0,j[$ has cardinality $< c$ for each $j \in [0,k[$. We identify c with k. Every infinite cardinal is a limit ordinal.

Definition 4.7 If α is an endomorphism of a σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact group G, then there exists a non-empty set $\mathcal{K}$ of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups $K \subseteq G$ such that G/K is metrizable for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{K} = \{e\}$ (see Lemma 4.4). Let $\kappa(G,\alpha) := |\mathcal{K}|$ be the minimum cardinality of such $\mathcal{K}$.

Remark 4.8 Recall that the minimum cardinality $\chi(G)$ of a basis of identity neighbourhoods is called the *character* of a topological group G. It is not difficult to see that $\kappa(G,\alpha) = \chi(G)$ in the situation of Definition 4.7 unless $\chi(G) = \aleph_0$, in which case $\kappa(G,\alpha) = 1$ as we may choose $K := \{e\}$. Notably, $\kappa(G,\alpha)$ is independent of α. We suppress the proof as the additional information shall not be used.

5 Proof of Theorem A for non-metrizable G

To pass from metrizable to general groups in Theorem A, we could adapt the arguments from [14]. However, as the treatment of Theorem B requires a different approach, we prefer to apply this new approach (which is similar, but uses a different parameter for the transfinite induction) also to Theorem A (which does not make the presentation longer).

Definition 5.1 We say that an endomorphism α of a topological group G *has the decomposition property* if $\mathrm{con}(\alpha,K) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)K$ for each α-invariant compact subgroup $K \subseteq G$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a topological group G and $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha,H)$ for an α-invariant compact subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Let $q\colon G \to Q$ be a quotient homomorphism to a topological group Q with α-invariant kernel N. Let $\overline{\alpha}\colon Q \to Q$ be the map determined by $\overline{\alpha} \circ q = q \circ \alpha$. Let $\overline{y} \in \mathrm{con}(\overline{\alpha}) \subseteq Q$ with $\overline{y} \in q(x)q(H)$. Then there exists $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H \cap N)$ such that*

$$q(y) = \overline{y} \quad \textit{and} \quad y \in xH. \tag{17}$$

If α has the decomposition property, then there exists $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ with (17).

Proof. Let $h \in H$ such that $\overline{y} = q(x)q(h)$. As $q(xh) = \overline{y} \in \mathrm{con}(\overline{\alpha})$, we have $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, N)$. Since $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)H$, we deduce $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$. Hence $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H \cap N)$, by Lemma 1.10 (and we can take $y := xh$). If α has the decomposition property, we can write $xh = yn$ with $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ and $n \in H \cap N$. Then $y \in xH$ and $q(y) = q(xh) = \overline{y}$. □

5.3 Let I be an interval of ordinal numbers of the form $[0, k[$ or $[0, k]$. Let α be an endomorphism of a topological group G and $(N_j)_{j\in I}$ be a family of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups $N_j \subseteq G$ such that $N_j \subseteq N_i$ for $i \leq j$ in I. For $j \in I$, let $q_j \colon G \to G/N_j$ be the canonical quotient map and α_j be the endomorphism of G/N_j determined by $\alpha_j \circ q_j = q_j \circ \alpha$. For $i \leq j$, let

$$q_{i,j} \colon G/N_j \to G/N_i$$

be the map determined by $q_{i,j} \circ q_j = q_i$. Then $((G/N_j)_{j\in I}, (q_{i,j})_{i\leq j})$ (with $i, j \in I$) is a projective system of topological groups.

The next lemma is a variant of [14, Lemma 7].

Lemma 5.4 *Let $0 \neq k$ be a limit ordinal, α an endomorphism of a topological group G and $(N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}$ be a family of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups $N_j \subseteq G$ such that $N_j \subseteq N_i$ for $i \leq j$ in $[0, k[$. Let $N_k := \bigcap_{j\in[0,k[} N_j$ and use notation as in 5.3 with $I := [0, k]$. Let $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant closed subgroup and $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$. Assume that, for each $j \in [0, k[$, an element $y_j \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_j) \subseteq G/N_j$ is given such that*

$$q_{i,j}(y_j) = y_i \quad \text{for all } i \leq j \text{ in } [0, k[, \text{ and} \tag{18}$$

$$y_j \in q_j(x)q_j(H) \quad \text{for all } j \in [0, k[. \tag{19}$$

Then there is a unique element $y_k \in G/N_k$ such that

$$q_{i,k}(y_k) = y_i \quad \text{for all } i \in [0, k[. \tag{20}$$

Moreover, $y_k \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_k) \subseteq G/N_k$ and

$$y_k \in q_k(x)q_k(H). \tag{21}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, G/N_k is the projective limit of the projective system $((G/N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}, (q_{i,j})_{i\leq j})$, with the limit maps $q_{i,k}$. Thus, the identities

(18) determine a unique element $y_k \in G/N_k$ such that (20) holds. By Remark 4.6 (b), $q_k(H)$ is the projective limit of its quotients $q_i(H)$ for $i \in [0,k[$, with the limit maps $q_{i,k}|_{q_k(H)} \colon q_k(H) \to q_i(H)$. Since

$$q_{i,k}(q_k(x)^{-1}y_k) = q_i(x)^{-1}y_i \in q_i(H) \text{ for all } i \in [0,k[,$$

we deduce that $q_k(x)^{-1}y_k \in q_k(H)$. To see that $y_k \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_k)$, let $U \subseteq G/N_k$ be an identity neighbourhood. Then $q_{i,k}^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ for some $i \in [0,k[$ and identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G/N_i$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\alpha_i)^n(q_i(y_i)) = e$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$q_{i,k}((\alpha_k)^n(y_k)) = (\alpha_i)^n(y_i) \in V$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and thus $(\alpha_k)^n(y_k) \in q_{i,k}^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$. □

The following lemma is a variant of [14, Lemma 8].

Lemma 5.5 *Let $k > 0$ be an ordinal number, α be an endomorphism of a topological group G and $(N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}$ be a family of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups $N_j \subseteq G$ such that $N_j \subseteq N_i$ for all $i \leq j$ in $[0,k[$ and*

$$N_j = \bigcap_{i<j} N_i$$

for each limit ordinal $0 \neq j \in [0,k[$. Let $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant compact subgroup and $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) \subseteq G$. Use notation as in 5.3 with $I := [0,k[$. Assume that α_j has the decomposition property for each $j \in [0,k[$. Then there exist elements $y_j \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_j) \subseteq G/N_j$ for $j \in [0,k[$, such that conditions (18) and (19) from Lemma 5.4 are satisfied.

Proof. For all $j \in [0,k[$, we have $q_j(x) \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_j, q_j(H))$, by Lemma 1.15 (b). Let M be the set of all families $(y_j)_{j\in[0,\ell[}$ with $\ell \in]0,k]$ such that (18) and (19) are satisfied, with ℓ in place of k. Since α_0 has the decomposition property and $q_0(x) \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_0, q_0(H))$, there exists $y_0 \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_0)$ such that

$$y_0 \in q_0(x)q_0(H).$$

Then $(y_j)_{j\in\{0\}} \in M$ and thus $M \neq \emptyset$. For $f = (y_j)_{j\in[0,\ell[}$ and $g = (y'_j)_{j\in[0,\ell'[}$ in M, write $f \leq g$ if $\ell \leq \ell'$ and $f = g|_{[0,\ell[}$. To see that $(M, \leq)$ is inductively ordered, let Γ be a totally ordered subset of M. If $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then every element of M (which is non-empty) is an upper bound for Γ. If $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, write $[0,\ell_f[$ for the domain of $f \in \Gamma$. Let $\ell = \sup\{\ell_f \colon f \in \Gamma\} \in]0,k]$. Then the union of

the graphs of the $f \in \Gamma$ is the graph of a function $g \in M$ which is an upper bound for Γ. Thus $(M, \leq)$ is inductively ordered and thus M has a maximal element $f = (y_j)_{j \in [0,\ell[}$, by Zorn's Lemma. If $\ell = k$, then the assertion of the lemma holds for α. If $\ell < k$, we obtain a contradiction because f could then be extended to an element of M defined on $[0, \ell] = [0, \ell+1[$, as we now verify. It suffices to find an element $y_\ell \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_\ell) \subseteq G/N_\ell$ such that (20) and (21) are satisfied, with ℓ in place of k.

If ℓ is a limit ordinal, then Lemma 5.4 yields $y_\ell \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_\ell)$ as desired.

If ℓ has a precursor j, we apply Lemma 5.2 with G/N_ℓ, the quotient map $q_{j,\ell}\colon G/N_\ell \to G/N_j$, α_ℓ, $q_\ell(N_j)$, $q_\ell(H)$, $q_\ell(x)$, and y_j in place of G, q, α, N, H, x, and $\overline{y}$, respectively. Since α_ℓ has the decomposition property, we obtain $y_\ell \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_\ell) \subseteq G/N_\ell$ such that $q_{j,\ell}(y_\ell) = y_j$ and $y_\ell \in q_\ell(x)q_\ell(H)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A, completed. We show by transfinite induction on cardinals $k \geq 1$ that each endomorphism α of a σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact group G with $\kappa(G, \alpha) \leq k$ has the decomposition property (where $\kappa(G, \alpha)$ is as in Definition 4.7). If $k \leq \aleph_0$, then $\kappa(G, \alpha) \leq \aleph_0$ implies that G is metrizable (see Lemma 4.2); thus α has the decomposition property (as verified in Section 2).

Let $k > \aleph_0$ now and assume that all endomorphisms β of σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact groups H with $\kappa(H, \beta) < k$ have the decomposition property. The assertion will hold for k if we can show that every endomorphism α of a σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact group G with $\kappa(G, \alpha) = k$ has the decomposition property. In this situation, we choose a set $\mathcal{K}$ with $|\mathcal{K}| = k$ as in Definition 4.7 and a bijection

$$[0, k[\to \mathcal{K}, \quad j \mapsto K_j.$$

We define

$$N_j := \bigcap_{i<j} K_i \quad \text{for all } j \in]0, k[\text{ and } N_0 := N_1.$$

This yields a decreasing family $(N_j)_{j \in [0,k[}$ of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups of G with $N_j = \bigcap_{i<j} N_i$ for each limit ordinal $0 \neq j \in [0, k[$. For $j \in [0, k[$, let $q_j\colon G \to G/N_j$ be the canonical quotient map and α_j be the endomorphism of G/N_j induced by α. Then $\mathcal{K}_j := \{q_j(K_i)\colon i < j\}$ is a set of cardinality at most j which has the properties of $\mathcal{K}$ required in Definition 4.7, with G/N_j in place of G and α_j in place of α. Hence

$$\kappa(G/N_j, \alpha_j) \leq |\mathcal{K}_j| \leq j < k,$$

and thus α_j has the decomposition property. Note that k, being an infinite cardinal, is a limit ordinal. Given $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) \subseteq G$, we apply Lemma 5.5 to find $y_j \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha_j) \subseteq G/N_j$ for $j \in [0, k[$ such that (18) and (19) are satisfied. Now Lemma 5.4 provides $y \in G \cong G/\bigcap_{j<k} N_j$ such that $\alpha^n(y) \to e$ and $x^{-1}y \in H$. Hence α has the decomposition property, as required.

Now let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G which need not be σ-compact. Let $H \subseteq G$ be an α-stable closed subgroup or an α-invariant compact subgroup. Given $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H)$, Lemma 2.2 provides an $h \in H$ such that $xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K)$ with $K := (O \cap H)_+ \cap (O \cap H)_-$. As observed in Remark 4.3, G has an α-invariant σ-compact open subgroup S such that $K \cup \{xh\} \subseteq S$. Now, by Lemma 1.17 (a),

$$xh \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K) \cap S = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_S, K).$$

Since $\alpha|_S$ has the decomposition property (as S is σ-compact), we find $y \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_S) \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ and $k \in K$ such that $xh = yk$. Thus $x = ykh^{-1} \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)KH = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)H$. □

6 Theorem B for non-metrizable G

To deal with anti-contraction groups (rather than contraction groups), we need to consider regressive trajectories rather than individual group elements. This consideration leads to the following analogue of Definition 5.1.

Definition 6.1 Let α be an endomorphism of a topological group G. We say that *α-regressive trajectories decompose* if, for each α-invariant closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H, there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $y_n \in x_nH$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = e$. Then

$$\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)H$$

in particular (since $x_0 = y_0h$ for some $h \in H$, we have $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, H) \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)H$; the converse inclusion is trivial).

Lemma 6.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a topological group G and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory in G such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H for some α-invariant closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Let $N \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant, compact normal subgroup and $q\colon G \to G/N$ be the canonical quotient map. Let*

$\overline{\alpha}\colon G/N \to G/N$ *be the induced map determined by* $\overline{\alpha}\circ q = q\circ\alpha$ *and* $(\overline{y}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *be an* $\overline{\alpha}$*-regressive trajectory in* G/N *such that* $\overline{y}_n \in q(x_n)q(H)$ *for each* $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$*. Then there exists an* α*-regressive trajectory* $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *in* G *such that*

$$q(y_n) = \overline{y}_n \quad \textit{and} \quad y_n \in x_nH \quad \textit{for all } n\in\mathbb{N}_0.$$

If $\overline{y}_n \to e$ *as* $n\to\infty$ *and* $x_n \to e$ *modulo* H*, then* $y_n \to e$ *modulo* $H\cap N$*.*

Proof. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, there is $h_n\in H$ such that $\overline{y}_n = q(x_n)q(h_n)$. We let $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} = ((z_n^{(m)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be the double sequence associated with $(x_nh_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ (as in Definition 1.4). Given $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$q(z_n^{(m)}) = q(\alpha^{m-n}(x_mh_m)) = \overline{\alpha}^{m-n}(q(x_mh_m)) = \overline{\alpha}^{m-n}(\overline{y}_m) = \overline{y}_n = q(x_nh_n)$$

for all $m\geq n$. Moreover, $z_n^{(m)} = \alpha^{m-n}(x_mh_m) = x_n\alpha^{m-n}(h_m) \in x_nH$. Thus $z_n^{(m)}$ is contained in the compact set $x_n(H\cap h_nN)$ for all $m\geq n$ (and always in $x_n(H\cap h_nN)\cup\{e\}$), whence $(z^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ has an accumulation point $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} x_n(H\cap h_nN)$. Then $q(y_n) = q(x_nh_n) = \overline{y}_n$ and $y_n\in x_nH$. By Lemma 1.3, $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory.

If $q(y_n) = \overline{y}_n \to e$, then $y_n\to e$ modulo N. If, moreover, $x_n\to e$ modulo H, then $y_n\in x_nH$ implies that also $y_n\to e$ modulo H. Hence $y_n\to e$ modulo $H\cap N$ (by Lemma 1.10), as N is compact. □

Lemma 6.3 *Let* $0\neq k$ *be a limit ordinal,* α *be an endomorphism of a topological group* G *and* $(N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}$ *be a family of* α*-invariant, compact normal subgroups* $N_j\subseteq G$ *such that* $N_j\subseteq N_i$ *for* $i\leq j$ *in* $[0,k[$*. Let* $N_k := \bigcap_{j\in[0,k[} N_j$ *and use notation as in* 5.3 *with* $I := [0,k]$*. Let* $H\subseteq G$ *be an* α*-invariant, closed subgroup and* $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *be an* α*-regressive trajectory in* G *such that* $x_n\to e$ *modulo* H*. Assume that, for each* $j\in[0,k[$*, an* α_j*-regressive trajectory* $(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *in* G/N_j *with* $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n^{(j)} = e$ *is given such that, for each* $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$*,*

$$q_{i,j}(y_n^{(j)}) = y_n^{(i)} \qquad \textit{for all } i\leq j \textit{ in } [0,k[, \tag{22}$$

$$y_n^{(j)} \in q_j(x_n)q_j(H) \qquad \textit{for all } j\in[0,k[. \tag{23}$$

Then there are unique elements $y_n^{(k)}\in G/N_k$ *such that*

$$q_{i,k}(y_n^{(k)}) = y_n^{(i)} \quad \textit{for all } i\in[0,k[. \tag{24}$$

Moreover, $(y_n^{(k)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *is an* α_k*-regressive trajectory in* G/N_k *which converges to* e *and*

$$y_n^{(k)} \in q_k(x_n)q_k(H) \quad \textit{for all } n\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{25}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, G/N_k is the projective limit of the projective system $((G/N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}, (q_{i,j})_{i\leq j})$, with the limit maps $q_{i,k}$. Thus, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, (22) determines a unique $y_n^{(k)} \in G/N_k$ with (24) (see Remark 4.6 (a)). As

$$q_{i,k}(\alpha_k(y_{n+1}^{(k)})) = \alpha_i(q_{i,k}(y_{n+1}^{(k)})) = \alpha_i(y_{n+1}^{(i)}) = y_n^{(i)} = q_{i,k}(y_n^{(k)}) \text{ for all } i \in [0,k[,$$

we have $\alpha_k(y_{n+1}^{(k)}) = y_n^{(k)}$. Thus $(y_n^{(k)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α_k-regressive trajectory. Now $q_k(H)$ is the projective limit of its quotients $q_i(H)$ for $i \in [0,k[$, with the limit maps $q_{i,k}|_H \colon H \to q_{i,k}(H)$ (see Remark 4.6 (b)). Since

$$q_{i,k}(q_k(x_n)^{-1}y_n^{(k)}) = q_i(x_n)^{-1}y_n^{(i)} \in q_i(H) \text{ for all } i \in [0,k[,$$

we deduce that $q_k(x)^{-1}y_n^{(k)} \in q_k(H)$. To see that $y_n^{(k)} \to e$, let $U \subseteq G/N_k$ be an identity neighbourhood. Then $q_{i,k}^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ for some $i \in [0,k[$ and identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G/N_i$. Since $q_i(y_n^{(i)}) \to e$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$q_{i,k}(y_n^{(k)}) = y_n^{(i)} \in V$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and thus $y_n^{(k)} \in q_{i,k}^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$. □

Lemma 6.4 *Let k be an ordinal number, α be an endomorphism of a topological group G and $(N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}$ be a family of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups $N_j \subseteq G$ such that $N_j \subseteq N_i$ for all $i \leq j$ in $[0,k[$ and*

$$N_j = \bigcap_{i<j} N_i$$

for each limit ordinal $0 \neq j \in [0,k[$. Let $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant closed subgroup. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory in G such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H. Using notation as in 5.3*, assume that α_j-regressive trajectories in G/N_j decompose for each $j \in [0,k[$. Then there exist α_j-regressive trajectories $(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G/N_j which converge to e, for all $j \in [0,k[$, such that conditions* (22) *and* (23) *from Lemma* 6.3 *are satisfied.*

Proof. For each $j \in [0,k[$, the sequence $(q_j(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α_j-regressive trajectory in G/N_j. Moreover, $q_j(x_n) \to e$ modulo $q_j(H)$, by Lemma 1.15 (a). Let M be the set of all families $f = (y_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in[0,\ell[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $\ell \in]0,k]$ such that (22) and (23) are satisfied, with ℓ in place of k. Since α_0-regressive

trajectories in G/N_0 decompose and $q_0(x_n) \to e$ modulo $q_0(H)$, there exists an α_0-regressive trajectory $(y_n^{(0)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that

$$y_n^{(0)} \in q_0(x_n)q_0(H) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } y_n^{(0)} \to e.$$

Then $(y_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in\{0\}\times\mathbb{N}_0} \in M$ and thus $M \neq \emptyset$. For $f = (y_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in[0,\ell[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $g = (z_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in[0,\ell'[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ in M, write $f \leq g$ if $\ell \leq \ell'$ and $f = g|_{[0,\ell[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$. To see that $(M, \leq)$ is inductively ordered, let Γ be a totally ordered subset of M. If $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then every element of M (which is non-empty) is an upper bound for Γ. If $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, write $[0, \ell_f[\times\mathbb{N}_0$ for the domain of $f \in \Gamma$. Let $\ell = \sup\{\ell_f \colon f \in \Gamma\} \in]0, k]$. Then the union of the graphs of the $f \in \Gamma$ is the graph of a function $g \in M$ which is an upper bound for Γ. Hence $(M, \leq)$ is inductively ordered and thus M has a maximal element $f = (y_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in[0,\ell[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$, by Zorn's Lemma. If $\ell = k$, then the assertion of the lemma holds for α and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. If $\ell < k$, we reach a contradiction, as follows: Since $[0, \ell+1[= [0, \ell]$, we can extend $(y_n^{(j)})_{(j,n)\in[0,\ell[\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ to a properly larger element in $(M, \leq)$ if we can find an α_ℓ-regressive trajectory $(y_n^{(\ell)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G/N_ℓ with $y_n^{(\ell)} \to e$ such that (24) and (25) are satisfied, with ℓ in place of k.

If ℓ is a limit ordinal, then Lemma 6.3 yields $(y_n^{(\ell)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G/N_ℓ as desired (contradiction).

If ℓ has a precursor j, we apply Lemma 6.2 with G/N_ℓ, the quotient map $q_{j,\ell} \colon G/N_\ell \to G/N_j$, α_ℓ, $q_\ell(N_j)$, $q_\ell(H)$, $(q_\ell(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and $(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in place of G, q, α, N, H, $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and $(\overline{y}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, respectively. We obtain an α_ℓ-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G/N_ℓ such that

$$y_n \to e \text{ modulo } q_\ell(H) \cap q_\ell(N_j)$$

and $q_{j,\ell}(y_n) = y_n^{(j)}$ as well as $y_n \in q_\ell(x_n)q_\ell(H)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since α_ℓ-regressive trajectories decompose, we find an α_ℓ-regressive trajectory $(y_n^{(\ell)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G/N_ℓ such that $y_n^{(\ell)} \to e$ and

$$y_n^{(\ell)} \in y_n(q_\ell(H) \cap q_\ell(N_j)) \subseteq q_\ell(x_n)q_\ell(H),$$

whence $q_{j,\ell}(y_n^{(k)}) = q_{j,\ell}(y_n) = y_n^{(j)}$. Again, a contradiction is obtained. □

Proof of Theorem B, completed. We show by transfinite induction on cardinals $k \geq 1$ that α-regressive trajectories decompose for each endomorphism α of a σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact group G such

that $\kappa(G,\alpha) \leq k$ (where $\kappa(G,\alpha)$ is as in Definition 4.7). If $k \leq \aleph_0$, then $\kappa(G,\alpha) \leq \aleph_0$ implies that G is metrizable (see Lemma 4.2); thus α-regressive trajectories decompose (as verified in Section 3).

Let $k > \aleph_0$ now and assume that β-regressive trajectories decompose for all endomorphisms β of σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact groups H with $\kappa(H,\beta) < k$. The assertion will hold for k if we can show that α-regressive trajectories decompose for each endomorphism α of a σ-compact, totally disconnected, locally compact group G such that $\kappa(G,\alpha) = k$. In this situation, we choose a set $\mathcal{K}$ with $|\mathcal{K}| = k$ as in Definition 4.7 and a bijection

$$[0,k[\to \mathcal{K}, \quad j \mapsto K_j.$$

We define

$$N_j := \bigcap_{i<j} K_i \quad \text{for all } j \in]0,k[\text{ and } N_0 := N_1.$$

This yields a decreasing family $(N_j)_{j\in[0,k[}$ of α-invariant, compact normal subgroups of G with $N_j = \bigcap_{i<j} N_i$ for each limit ordinal $0 \neq j \in [0,k[$. For $j \in [0,k[$, let $q_j \colon G \to G/N_j$ be the canonical quotient map and α_j be the endomorphism of G/N_j induced by α. Then $\mathcal{K}_j := \{q_j(K_i) \colon i < j\}$ is a set of cardinality $\leq j$ which has the properties of $\mathcal{K}$ required in Definition 4.7, with G/N_j in place of G and α_j in place of α. Hence

$$\kappa(G/N_j, \alpha_j) \leq |\mathcal{K}_j| \leq j < k,$$

and thus α_j-regressive trajectories decompose. Note that k, being an infinite cardinal, is a limit ordinal. Given an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in G, we apply Lemma 6.4 to find α_j-regressive trajectories $(y_n^{(j)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for $j \in [0,k[$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n^{(j)} = e$ and both (22) and (23) are satisfied. Now Lemma 6.3 provides an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $G \cong G/\bigcap_{j<k} N_j$ such that $y_n \to e$ and $x_n^{-1} y_n \in H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence α-regressive trajectories decompose, as required.

Now let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G which need not be σ-compact, $H \subseteq G$ be an α-invariant closed subgroup and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory such that $x_n \to e$ modulo H. There exists a σ-compact, α-invariant open subgroup $S \subseteq G$ which contains the countable (hence σ-compact) set $\{x_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ (see Remark 4.3). Then $S \cap H$ is an $\alpha|_S$-invariant closed subgroup of S and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ an $\alpha|_S$-regressive trajectory in S such that $x_n \to e$ modulo $S \cap H$ (see Lemma 1.17 (b)). Since

$\alpha|_S$-regressive trajectories decompose (by σ-compactness of S), there exists an $\alpha|_S$-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in S such that $y_n \to e$ in S (hence in G) and $y_n \in x_n(S \cap H) \subseteq x_n H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus α-regressive trajectories decompose. Notably, $\mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)H$. □

7 Subgroups and quotients

In this section, we generalize several results from [24] about how tidy subgroups and the scale behave with respect to taking subgroups and quotients from automorphisms to endomorphisms. This can be seen as a parallel to the study of topological entropy given in [5]. Generally speaking, the proofs follow the same basic structure as those for automorphisms but changes need to be made to accommodate for the additional complications. For example, in the case of an automorphism, the image of an intersection is the intersection of the images which is not necessarily the case for endomorphisms.

7.1 For many results in this section we rely on the tidying procedure which we shall outline for the benefit of the reader: Given a totally disconnected locally compact group G, an endomorphism α and $U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$, the following steps produce a tidy subgroup for α. See [27] for justifications.

1. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_{-n} := \bigcap_{k=0}^{n} \alpha^{-k}(U)$ is tidy above for α. By replacing U with U_{-n} we assume U is tidy above.
2. Define

   $$\mathcal{L}_U := \{x \in G : \exists y \in U_+ \ \exists m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \alpha^m(y) = x \text{ and } \alpha^n(x) \in U_-\}$$

   and $L_U := \overline{\mathcal{L}_U}$.
3. Set $\widetilde{U} := \{x \in U : xL_U \subseteq L_U U\}$.
4. Then $\widetilde{U}L_U$ is a compact open subgroup of G which is tidy for α.

If the original subgroup U was already tidy, then $\widetilde{U}L_U = U$.

Subgroups

We first explore the effect of taking subgroups on tidyness and the scale. The following lemma shows that tidy subgroups behave well when passing to subgroups. It is applied in Proposition 7.3 which deals with the scale.

Lemma 7.2 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Further, let $U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ be tidy for α. Set $V := U \cap H$. Then there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V_{-N} is tidy for $\alpha|_H$.*

Proof. Note that V is a compact open subgroup of H. By [27, Proposition 3] there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V_{-N} is tidy above for α. Since U is minimizing, the same proposition implies that U_{-N} is tidy for α. By Lemma 2.1, replacing U by U_{-N}, we may assume that V is tidy above for $\alpha|_H$. To see that this V is tidy, we show that $\mathcal{L}_V \subseteq V$ where $\mathcal{L}_V$ is given in 7.1. Since $V \subseteq H$ is closed this implies that $L_V = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_V \subseteq V$ and hence V is tidy below and therefore tidy for $\alpha|_H$ by [27, Proposition 8]. First, note that

$$V_- = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V_{-n} = U_- \cap H$$

(see (15)). Also, since V_+ is the collection of all elements in V that admit an α-regressive trajectory in $V = U \cap H$, it follows that $V_+ \subseteq U_+ \cap H$. Now, suppose that $x \in \mathcal{L}_V$. Then $x \in H$ and there are $y \in V_+$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^m(y) = x$ and $\alpha^n(y) \in V_-$. By the above, $y \in U_+$ and $\alpha^n(y) \in U_-$. Therefore, $x \in \mathcal{L}_U \cap H$. Since U is tidy for α we have $\mathcal{L}_U \subseteq U$ and thus conclude $x \in U \cap H = V$. This shows $\mathcal{L}_V \subseteq V$ as required. □

Proposition 7.3 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Then*

$$s_H(\alpha|_H) \leq s_G(\alpha).$$

Furthermore, if H is normal in G and $U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ is tidy for α such that $U \cap H$ is tidy for $\alpha|_H$, then $\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+$ is a subgroup of G and

$$s_H(\alpha|_H) = [\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+ : U_+].$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.2 there is a tidy subgroup $U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ for α such that $V := U \cap H$ is tidy for $\alpha|_H$. In particular, $s_H(\alpha|_H) = [\alpha(V_+) : V_+]$ and $s_G(\alpha) = [\alpha(U_+) : U_+]$. Define $\varphi : \alpha(V_+)/V_+ \to \alpha(U_+)/U_+$ by setting $\varphi(uV_+) := uU_+$ for all $uV_+ \in \alpha(V_+)/V_+$. Clearly φ is well defined as $V_+ \subseteq U_+$. For the first claim it suffices to show that φ is injective. Indeed, assume that $\varphi(uV_+) = \varphi(vV_+)$ for some $uV_+, vV_+ \in \alpha(V_+)/V_+$. Then it follows that

$x := v^{-1}u \in \alpha(V_+) \cap U_+$ where $\alpha(V_+) = \alpha((U \cap H)_+) \subseteq H$. By [27, Lemma 1] we conclude $x \in U \cap H \cap \alpha(V_+) = V \cap \alpha(V_+) = V_+$.

For the second claim suppose that H is normal in G. It suffices to show that $\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+ = U_+\alpha((U \cap H)_+)$: Indeed, this implies that $\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+$ is a group and the assertion then follows from the previous paragraph. Now, $(U \cap H)_0 := U \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of $U_0 := U$ and $(U \cap H)_{n+1} := \alpha((U \cap H)_n) \cap U \cap H$ is normal in $U_{n+1} := \alpha(U_n) \cap U$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by the following inductive argument: By the inductive hypothesis, $(U \cap H)_n$ is normal in U_n, whence $\alpha((U \cap H)_n)$ is normal in $\alpha(U_n)$. Since $U \cap H$ is normal in U, it follows that $\alpha((U \cap H)_n) \cap U \cap H$ is normal in $\alpha(U_n) \cap U$ which completes the induction. As a consequence,

$$(U \cap H)_+ := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (U \cap H)_n \quad \text{is normal in} \quad U_+ := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} U_n.$$

Let $u \in U_+$. Then $u = \alpha(w)$ for some $w \in U_+$. Applying α to $(U \cap H)_+ w = w(U \cap H)_+$, we deduce that $\alpha((U \cap H)_+)u = u\alpha((U \cap H)_+)$. □

Quotients

We now turn our attention to quotients. Again, we first consider tidy subgroups and then apply our findings to gain insight into the scale. Our first lemma is a tool that provides control over α-regressive trajectories. In the following, L_U and $\widetilde{U}$ are as in the tidying procedure, 7.1.

Lemma 7.4 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and α an endomorphism of G. Further, let $U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ be tidy above for α. Then*

$$U \cap \widetilde{U}L_U = \widetilde{U}.$$

Proof. The definitions imply $\widetilde{U} \subseteq U \cap \widetilde{U}L_U$ as $\widetilde{U} \subseteq U$ and $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \widetilde{U}L_U$. Now suppose $x \in U \cap \widetilde{U}L_U$. We need to show $xL_U \subseteq L_U U$. Indeed, we have $xL_U \subseteq \widetilde{U}L_U L_U = \widetilde{U}L_U \subseteq L_U U$. □

There are examples of automorphisms [24] and associated tidy below subgroups which do not behave well when passing to quotients. Lemma 7.6 shows that although we can not expect a tidy below subgroup to be tidy below when passing to a quotient, the original subgroup can be chosen such that the quotient is as close as possible to being tidy below using the tidying procedure. The proof of Lemma 7.6 relies on the following result which is immediate from the proof of [27, Lemma 16]. We state it for convenience.

Lemma 7.5 *Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact group and α an endomorphism of G. Suppose $u \in \widetilde{U}$ where U is tidy above for α. Then for any pair $u_\pm \in U_\pm$ with $u = u_+u_-$ we have $u_\pm \in \widetilde{U}_\pm$.*

Lemma 7.6 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed normal subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the endomorphism induced by α on G/H and by $q\colon G \to G/H$ the quotient map. Then there is a compact open subgroup U of G such that*

(a) *U tidy for α,*

(b) *$U \cap H$ is tidy for $\alpha|_H$, and*

(c) *$q(U)$ is tidy above for $\overline{\alpha}$ and $L_{q(U)}q(U) = q(U)L_{q(U)}$.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 7.2, choose $V \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ which is tidy for α and such that $V \cap H$ is tidy for $\alpha|_H$. Then $q(V)$ is in fact tidy above for $\overline{\alpha}$: On the one hand

$$q(V_-) = q\left(\bigcap_{n\geq 0} \alpha^{-n}(V)\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{n\geq 0} q(\alpha^{-n}(V)) = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \overline{\alpha}^{-n}(q(V)) = q(V)_-.$$

Also, $V_+ = \{x \in V \mid \text{there is an } \alpha\text{-regressive trajectory for } x \text{ in } V\}$ and therefore $q(V_+) \subseteq q(V)_+$ as α-regressive trajectories descend to the quotient. Combining the above we conclude

$$q(V) = q(V_+V_-) = q(V_+)q(V_-) \subseteq q(V)_+q(V)_-.$$

That is, $q(V)$ is tidy above for $\overline{\alpha}$. Now define $U := V \cap q^{-1}(q(V)^\sim)$, where $q(V)^\sim$ is as in Step 3 of the tidying procedure, 7.1. Then $q(U) = q(V)^\sim$ and hence $q(U)$ is tidy above for $\overline{\alpha}$ by [27, Lemma 16]. In addition, by applying [27, Proposition 6 (3)] we see that $L_{q(U)} = L_{q(V)^\sim} = L_{q(V)}$. It follows from [27, Lemma 13] and $q(U) = q(V)^\sim$ that $q(U)L_{q(U)} = L_{q(U)}q(U)$. Furthermore, $V \cap H \subseteq \ker q \subseteq q^{-1}(q(V)^\sim)$. Hence

$$U \cap H = V \cap H \cap q^{-1}(q(V)^\sim) = V \cap H$$

is tidy for $\alpha|_H$.

It remains to show that U is tidy for α. We begin by proving that U is tidy above for α. Let $u \in U$. Then since V is tidy above, $u = v_+v_-$

for some $v_\pm \in V_\pm$ and we aim to show that $v_\pm \in U_\pm$. Note that $q(u) = q(v_+)q(v_-)$ with $q(v_\pm) \in q(V_\pm) \subseteq q(V)_\pm$. Since $q(u) \in q(V)^\sim$, we deduce $q(v_\pm) \in (q(V)^\sim)_\pm$ by applying Lemma 7.5. Since $\alpha^n(v_-) \in V_-$ and $\overline{\alpha}^n(q(v_-)) \in (q(V)^\sim)_-$ for all $n \geq 0$ we have $q(\alpha^n(v_-)) \in (q(V)^\sim)_-$. Therefore, the orbit of $v_- \in V \cap q^{-1}(q(V)^\sim) = U$ stays in U and we conclude $v_- \in U_-$.

As to v_+, choose an α-regressive trajectory $(v_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for v_+ contained in V_+. We will show this sequence is contained within U. It is clear that $v_0 = v_+ \in U$. Suppose for the purpose of induction that $v_n \in U$. Applying [27, Lemma 15] we see that $q(v_n) \in q(U) \cap q(V_+) \subseteq q(V)^\sim \cap q(V)_+ = (q(V)^\sim)_+$. There exists $w \in (q(V)^\sim)_+$ such that

$$\overline{\alpha}(w) = q(v_n) = \overline{\alpha}(q(v_{n+1})).$$

Now w, $q(v_n)$ and $q(v_{n+1})$ are all elements of $\mathrm{par}^-(\overline{\alpha})$. By [27, Proposition 20], there exists $b \in \mathrm{bik}(\overline{\alpha})$ such that $q(v_{n+1}) = wb$. Since $q(V)^\sim L_{q(V)}$ is tidy, $b \in q(V)^\sim L_{q(V)}$. Hence $q(v_{n+1}) \in q(V)^\sim L_{q(V)}$. By Lemma 7.4, $q(v_{n+1}) \in q(V)^\sim$ which implies $v_{n+1} \in U$. Inductively $v_i \in U$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and so $v_+ \in U_+$.

To see that U is tidy below, note that V is tidy below and $U \subseteq V$. Hence $L_U \subseteq V_+ \cap V_-$. Clearly, $q(V_+ \cap V_-) \subseteq L_{q(V)}$ and so $q(V_+ \cap V_-) \subseteq q(V)^\sim$. Hence $V_+ \cap V_- \subseteq U$. As a consequence, $L_U \subseteq U$ which implies that U is tidy below, see [27, Proposition 8]. □

In the following lemma, we factor the subgroup used to calculate the scale. Later on, we turn this into a factorization of the scale itself.

Lemma 7.7 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed normal subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the endomorphism induced by α on G/H. Then there is a closed subgroup J of G with $\alpha((H \cap U)_+)U_+ \subseteq J \subseteq \alpha(U_+)$ and*

$$s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) = [\alpha(U_+) : J].$$

Proof. Let U satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 7.6. Let $q\colon G \to G/H$ denote the quotient map. Then $q(U)L_{q(U)}$ is tidy for $\overline{\alpha}$ and

$$s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) = [\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+)L_{q(U)} : q(U)_+L_{q(U)}]$$

using [27, Proposition 4 and Proposition 6 (2)]. Now consider the map

$$\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+)/(\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+L_{q(U)}) \to \overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+L_{q(U)})/q(U)_+L_{q(U)}$$

given by

$$g(\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}) \mapsto g(q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}).$$

This map is well-defined as $\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}) \subseteq q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}$. It is injective because any two elements in the domain which have the same image have coset representatives which differ by an element in $\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}$. To see surjectivity, simply note that $\overline{\alpha}(L_{q(U)}) \subseteq L_{q(U)} \subseteq q(U_+) L_{q(U)}$ by [27, Lemma 6]. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) &= [\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) L_{q(U)} : q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}] \\ &= [\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) : \overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We know that $\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}$ is closed in G/H because $\overline{\alpha}$ and q are continuous, U is compact and $L_{q(U)}$ is closed. Set

$$J := q^{-1}\left(\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}\right) \cap \alpha(U_+).$$

By the above, $J \subseteq \alpha(U_+)$ is a closed subgroup. To see $\alpha((H \cap U)_+) U_+ \subseteq J$, note that

$$q(\alpha((H \cap U)_+) U_+) = q(U_+) \subseteq q(U)_+ \subseteq \overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)} =: S \tag{27}$$

because $\alpha((H \cap U)_+) U_+ = U_+ \alpha((H \cap U)_+)$ and $\alpha((H \cap U)_+)$ is contained in H. The formula

$$x.(yS) := q(x) y S \quad \text{for } x \in \alpha(U_+) \text{ and } y \in q(U_+)$$

defines a left action of $\alpha(U_+)$ on $X := \overline{\alpha}(q(U_+))/S$ which is transitive as $q(\alpha(U_+)) = \overline{\alpha}(q(U_+))$. Since $S \in X$ has stabilizer $q^{-1}(S) \cap \alpha(U_+) = J$ under the action, the Orbit Formula (as in [18, 1.6.1 (i)]) shows that

$$[\alpha(U_+) : J] = |X| = [\overline{\alpha}(q(U_+)) : S].$$

Combining this with (27) and (26) we obtain $s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha}) = [\alpha(U_+) : J]$. □

Theorem 7.8 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group, α an endomorphism of G and $H \subseteq G$ a closed normal subgroup with $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$. Denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the endomorphism induced by α on G/H. Then $s_H(\alpha|_H) s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha})$ divides $s_G(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let U satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 7.6. By Lemma 7.7 there is a closed subgroup J of G such that

$$U_+ \subseteq \alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+ \subseteq J \subseteq \alpha(U_+).$$

Recall that by Proposition 7.3, the set $\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+$ is indeed a subgroup of G. Applying Lemma 7.7 and Proposition 7.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} s_G(\alpha) &= [\alpha(U_+) : U_+] \\ &= [\alpha(U_+) : J][J : \alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+][\alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+ : U_+] \\ &= s_{G/H}(\overline{\alpha})[J : \alpha((U \cap H)_+)U_+]s_H(\alpha|_H). \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We end this section by considering the special case of nested subgroups inside $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ for which we achieve equality in Theorem 7.8.

Lemma 7.9 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected locally compact group G and $H \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ be a closed α-stable subgroup. Then $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha|_H) = H$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in H$. We can find an α-regressive trajectory $(x = x_0, x_1, \ldots)$ which is contained in some compact set K. Since $\alpha(H) = H$ we can choose another α-regressive trajectory $(x = y_0, y_1, \ldots)$ such that $y_n \in H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $y_n, x_n \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $x_n^{-1}y_n \in \mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$. This shows $y_n \in x_n \,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq K \,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$. Since both K and $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ are compact, $K \,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ is compact and hence $K \,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \cap H$ is a compact subset of H. This shows that $(y_0, y_1, \ldots)$ is bounded and hence $x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha|_H)$. □

The following result is known for automorphisms, see [8, Proposition 3.21 (2)]. In the case of automorphisms, the proof utilizes the modular function which is not defined for endomorphisms. Instead we consider the factoring of the scale given by Theorem 7.8.

Proposition 7.10 *Suppose α is an endomorphism of a totally disconnected locally compact group G and $H \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is a closed α-stable subgroup. Also suppose that $N \subseteq H$ is a closed normal α-stable subgroup. Denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the endomorphism induced by $\alpha|_H$ on H/N. Then*

$$s_H(\alpha|_H) = s_{H/N}(\overline{\alpha})s_N(\alpha|_N).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we write α for $\alpha|_H$ as the enveloping group will play no further role. By Lemma 7.9, $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = H$ and so if $U \in \mathrm{COS}(H)$ is tidy for α, then $U = U_+$ by [27, Proposition 11]. By Lemma 7.2, we may assume that $U \cap N$ is tidy for $\alpha|_N$. Let $q : H \to H/N$ denote the quotient map. Choose $U \in \mathrm{COS}(H)$ satisfying conditions of Lemma 7.6 with respect to the normal subgroup N. From the proof of Theorem 7.8 we have

$$s_H(\alpha) = s_{H/N}(\overline{\alpha})[J : \alpha((U \cap N)_+)U_+]s_N(\alpha|_N),$$

where J is given in the proof of Lemma 7.6 by

$$J = q^{-1}(\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+) \cap q(U)_+ L_{q(U)}) \cap \alpha(U_+).$$

It suffices to show $J \subseteq \alpha((U \cap N)_+)U_+$. Since $q(U_+) \subseteq q(U)_+$, as seen in the proof of Lemma 7.6, and $U_+ = U$ we have

$$q(U_+) \subseteq q(U)_+ \subseteq q(U) = q(U_+),$$

which gives equality throughout. Thus

$$J = q^{-1}\left(\overline{\alpha}(q(U)) \cap q(U)L_{q(U)}\right) \cap \alpha(U).$$

Since $q(U)$ is an open identity neighbourhood, (9) implies that

$$q(U)L_{q(U)} = q(U)\overline{\mathcal{L}_{q(U)}} = q(U)\mathcal{L}_{q(U)}.$$

Suppose that $x \in q^{-1}(q(U)L_{q(U)})$. We can write $x = ul$ where $u \in U$ and $l \in q^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{q(U)})$. Consider $q(l) = lN \in \mathcal{L}_{q(U)}$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\overline{\alpha}^n(lN) = \alpha^n(l)N \in q(U).$$

This implies $\alpha^n(l)m \in U$ for some $m \in N$. Then $\alpha^n(l)m$ has an α-regressive trajectory contained in $U = U_+$. Using that fact that N is assumed to be α-stable, choose $m' \in N$ such that $\alpha^n(m') = m$. Since [27, Proposition 20] implies that any two elements in the preimage of an element of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = H$ are equal modulo $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$, we have $lm' \in U\,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$ by comparing $\alpha^n(lm') = \alpha^n(l)m$ with the α-regressive trajectory for $\alpha^n(l)m$ contained in U. But U is tidy and so $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq U$. Hence $l \in UN$ and thus $x \in UN$. This shows that $J \subset UN \cap \alpha(U)$. Suppose now that $x \in UN \cap \alpha(U)$. Then we can write $x = un$ where $u \in U$ and $n \in N$. Choose α-regressive trajectories

$$(u = u_0, u_1, \ldots),\ (un = v_0, v_1, \ldots), \text{ and } (n = n_0, n_1, \ldots)$$

such that $u_i, v_{i+1} \in U$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $n_i \in N$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $(un = u_0n_0, u_1n_1, \ldots)$ is also an α-regressive trajectory. For all $i \geq 1$ we have $u_in_i \in v_i \operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$. Noting that $\operatorname{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq U$, we have $n_i \in U$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then $n_1 \in (U \cap N)_+$ and so $n = n_0 = \alpha(n_1) \in \alpha((U \cap N)_+)$. As $x = un$, this shows $x \in U\alpha((U \cap N)_+) = \alpha((U \cap N)_+)U$ (with equality by Proposition 7.3). $\square$

8 Small tidy subgroups and Theorem D

We now turn to Theorem D which characterizes admitting small tidy subgroups for an endomorphism in terms of its contraction group. The following link between (anti)-contraction groups and the subgroups of a compact open subgroup associated to tidyness is known for automorphisms (cf. [1, Proposition 3.16]).

Lemma 8.1 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G, and $V \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then*

$$V_{--} = \operatorname{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) \quad \textit{and} \quad V_{++} = \operatorname{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-).$$

Proof. If $x \in \operatorname{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha^m(x) \in V(V_+ \cap V_-) = V$ for all $m \geq n$. Thus $\alpha^k(\alpha^n(x)) \in V$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, whence $\alpha^n(x) \in V_-$ and hence $x \in \alpha^{-n}(V_-) \subseteq V_{--}$. Thus $\operatorname{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) \subseteq V_{--}$

If $x \in V_{--}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha^m(x) \in V_-$ and hence $\alpha^n(x) = \alpha^{n-m}(\alpha^m(x)) \in V$ for all $n \geq m$. Thus $\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo V. By Lemma 1.14, also $\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo $V_+ \cap V_-$.

If $x \in \operatorname{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$, let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory for x such that $x_n \to e$ modulo $V_+ \cap V_-$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_m \in V(V_+ \cap V_-) = V$ for all $m \geq n$. Then $(x_{n+m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory for x_n in V, whence $x_n \in V_+$ and $x_0 = \alpha^n(x_n) \in \alpha^n(V_+) \subseteq V_{++}$.

If $x \in V_{++}$, then $x = \alpha^m(y)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $y \in V_+$. Let $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory for y in V. Then

$$x = \alpha^m(y), \alpha^{m-1}(y), \ldots, \alpha(y), y = y_0, y_1, y_2, \ldots$$

is an α-regressive trajectory for x which eventually lies in V and hence converges to e modulo V. As it also converges to e modulo $V_+ \cap V_-$ (by Lemma 1.14), we see that $x \in \operatorname{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$. $\square$

Lemma 8.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $V \subseteq G$ by a tidy subgroup for α. Then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) \quad \textit{and} \quad \overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-).$$

Proof. Since V is tidy, both

$$V_{--} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{++} = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$$

are closed in G (with equalities by Lemma 8.1). So $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$. □

If α is an endomorphism of a totally disconnected locally compact group, we write $\mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$ for the set of all tidy subgroups of α. The following auxiliary result will be improved in Proposition 11.2 (d).

Lemma 8.3 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. For each $V \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$, we have $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} &\subseteq \bigcap_{V \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V) = \mathrm{con}\left(\alpha, \bigcap_{V \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} V\right) \\ &= \mathrm{con}(\alpha, \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 1.11 for the first equality and Theorem A for the last. □

The following result is known for automorphisms (cf. [1, Lemma 3.31 (3)]).

Proposition 8.4 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $V \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup which is tidy above for α. Then V is tidy if and only if V contains the nub of α.*

Proof. If V is tidy, then $V \supseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ by definition of the nub. For the converse, we give two entirely different proofs. The first one is based on the tidying procedure outlined at the beginning of Section 7, the second one utilizes Theorem A.

Assume that V is tidy above and $V \supseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. By [27, Proposition 8], it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{L} := \{x \in G \mid \exists y \in V_+ \; \exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \; \alpha^n(y) = x \text{ and } \alpha^m(x) \in V_-\}$$

is contained in V. Set $V_0 := V_+ \cap V_-$. Fix $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and choose $y \in V_+$ such that $\alpha^{n_0}(y) = x$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $W_1 \cap W_2$ is tidy for all tidy subgroups W_1 and W_2 (see [27, Proposition 12]), $\mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$ is a filter basis. Hence by 1.1 there is a tidy subgroup $W \subseteq V$. Now $y \in V_+ \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V)$ which is precisely $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V_0)$ by Lemma 1.14. There exists an α-regressive trajectory $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for y and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_n \in V_0 W$ for $n \geq N$. Write $y_N = v_0 w$ for some $v_0 \in V_0$ and $w \in W$. Since v_0^{-1} and y_N have bounded α-regressive trajectories and bounded α-orbits, so does w. Hence [27, Proposition 5] shows that $w \in L_W$ which equals $W_0 := W_+ \cap W_-$ by [27, Proposition 6 (3)]. So $w = v_0^{-1} y_N \in W_0 \subseteq V_0$ and therefore $y_N \in V_0$. Hence $x \in V$.

Assume that V is tidy above and $V \supseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. Since $W_1 \cap W_2$ is tidy for all tidy subgroups W_1 and W_2 (see [27, Proposition 12]), $\mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$ is a filter basis. By 1.1, there exists $W \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$ such that $W \subseteq V$. Using both Lemma 8.1 and Theorem A twice, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{--} &= \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)(V_+ \cap V_-) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)(W_+ \cap W_-)(V_+ \cap V_-) \\ &= \mathrm{con}(\alpha, W_+ \cap W_-)(V_+ \cap V_-) = W_{--}(V_+ \cap V_-). \end{aligned}$$

As W_{--} is closed and $V_+ \cap V_-$ is compact, V_{--} is closed. Hence V is tidy. □

The following proposition subsumes Theorem D. For the special case of automorphisms, see already [1, Theorem 3.32] (for metrizable G).

Proposition 8.5 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *α has small tidy subgroups.*

(b) $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \{e\}$.

(c) *Every compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$ which is tidy above for α is tidy.*

(d) $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ *is closed in G.*

Proof. The implication "(a)⇒(b)" is trivial, while "(b)⇒(c)" is immediate from Proposition 8.4. If (c) holds and $U \subseteq G$ is an identity neighbourhood, pick a compact open subgroup $V \subseteq U$. After replacing V with $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(V)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we may assume that V is tidy above for α and hence tidy, as we assume (c). Hence (c) implies (a).

(b)⇒(d) holds by Lemma 8.3.

(d)⇒(c): By Lemma 8.1 and Theorem A, we have $V_{--} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)(V_+ \cap V_-)$, which is closed in G as $V_+ \cap V_-$ is compact and we assume that $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed. Hence V is tidy. □

Tidy automorphisms were introduced in [6].

Definition 8.6 An endomorphism α of a totally disconnected, locally compact group is called *tidy* if it satisfies one (hence any) of the conditions (a)–(d) in Proposition 8.5.

Corollary 8.7 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $H \subseteq G$ an α-invariant closed subgroup. If α is tidy, then also $\alpha|_H$ is tidy. If H is also normal and compact, then the endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}$ induced by α on G/H is tidy.*

Proof. If α is tidy, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed in G by Proposition 8.5, whence $\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap H = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_H)$ is closed in H. Consequently, $\alpha|_H$ is tidy by the same proposition.

Assume also H is normal in G and compact. Applying Theorem A, we have

$$q^{-1}(\mathrm{con}(\overline{\alpha})) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, H) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)H,$$

which is closed since $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed by Proposition 8.5 and H is compact. Hence $\mathrm{con}(\overline{\alpha})$ is closed and thus $\overline{\alpha}$ is tidy, by Proposition 8.5. □

9 Dynamics on $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$

We now prove results involving the restriction of an endomorphism $\alpha\colon G \to G$ to the anti-parabolic subgroup $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ or the Levi subgroup $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) = \mathrm{par}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$, to be used in the proof of Theorem E.

Lemma 9.1 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and K be a compact subgroup of G such that $\alpha(K) = K$. Set $\beta := \alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$. Then $K \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and*

$$\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K) = \mathrm{con}^-(\beta, K) \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}^-(\beta) \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$.

Proof. Since $\alpha(K) = K$, we find an α-regressive trajectory in K for each $x \in K$, showing that $K \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. If $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K)$, then there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for x such that $x_n \to e$ modulo K. As K is compact, $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact (see Lemma 1.9 (a)), entailing that $x_n \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and thus $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\beta, K)$ (cf. Lemma 1.16). Thus $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K) \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\beta, K)$. The converse inclusion is trivial (cf. Lemma 1.15 (a)). □

Among other things, the next lemma is useful for the proof of Theorem E. Independently, part (c) of the lemma was also obtained in [5, Lemma 4.5 (3)].

Lemma 9.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then the following holds:*

(a) *A compact open subgroup $U \subseteq G$ is tidy below for α if and only if $U \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is tidy below for $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$.*

(b) *If $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is injective, then $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is an automorphism of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$.*

If $U \subseteq G$ is a tidy subgroup for α, then

(c) *U_+ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ which is tidy for $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$, and $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)})$.*

(d) *U_+ is a compact open subgroup of U_{++} which is tidy for $\alpha|_{U_{++}}$, and $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha|_{U_{++}})$.*

Proof. (a) The subgroup $V := U \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is compact and open in $P^- := \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. Let $\beta := \alpha|_{P^-}$ and V_+ be the group of all $x \in V$ for which there exists a β-regressive trajectory in V. If $x \in U_+$, then there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for x in U. Since $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq U$ is relatively compact, we have $x_n \in U \cap P^- = V$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, whence $x \in V_+$. Thus $U_+ \subseteq V_+$ and hence

$$U_+ = V_+. \tag{28}$$

If $x \in U_{++}$, then there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for x and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_n \in U_+ = V_+$ for all $n \geq N$. Again, we deduce that $x_n \in P^-$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This entails $x \in V_{++}$. Thus $U_{++} \subseteq V_{++}$ and hence

$$U_{++} = V_{++}. \tag{29}$$

Since $P^- \subseteq G$ is closed, U_{++} is closed in G if and only if V_{++} is closed in P^-. As $U_+ = V_+ \subseteq P^-$, we have $\alpha^n(U_+) = \beta^n(V_+)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and thus

$$[\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)] = [\beta^{n+1}(V_+) : \beta^n(V_+)] \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{30}$$

As a consequence, the sequence $([\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)])_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is constant if and only if $([\beta^{n+1}(V_+) : \beta^n(V_+)])_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a constant sequence. Thus U is tidy below for α if and only if V is tidy below for β.

(c) Since $U_+ = P^- \cap U$ (see [27, Proposition 11(1)]), U_+ is open in P^-. As $U_+ \subseteq \alpha(U_+)$, the subgroup $V := U_+ = P^- \cap U$ is tidy above for $\beta := \alpha|_{P^-}$ (since $V \subseteq \beta(V)$ implies $V_+ = V$). By (a), V is also tidy below. Therefore V is tidy for β and now (30) yields

$$s(\alpha) = [\alpha(U_+) : U_+] = [\beta(V_+) : V_+] = s(\beta).$$

(d) Since $U_+ = U_{++} \cap U$ by [27, Proposition 11(1)] and U_{++} is closed, U_+ is a compact open subgroup of U_{++}. It is tidy above for $\alpha|_{U_{++}}$ since $\alpha(U_+) \supseteq U_+$ and tidy below since U_{++} and the indices $[\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are unchanged if α is replaced with $\alpha|_{U_{++}}$. Notably, $s(\alpha) = [\alpha(U_+) : U_+]$ remains unchanged.

(b) The self-map $\beta := \alpha|_{P^-}$ of P^- is surjective as $\alpha(P^-) = P^-$, and injective by hypothesis. By the proof of (c), P^- has a compact open subgroup V such that $V \subseteq \beta(V)$, whence also $\beta(V)$ is a compact open subgroup of P^-. Since V is compact, $\beta|_V$ is a quotient homomorphism onto its image and hence open onto $\beta(V)$, which is open in P^-. Thus, the endomorphism β is an open map. □

Remark 9.3 Note that $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is injective if and only if $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) = \{e\}$. Hence $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is injective if α is tidy (then $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \{e\}$).

The following result is known for automorphisms (cf. [1, Theorem 3.32]).

Proposition 9.4 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. If $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed in G, then also $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ closed.*

Proof. As $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is closed, $\beta := \alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is an automorphism (see Remark 9.3 and Proposition 8.5). Since W_+ is tidy for β for each compact open subgroup $W \subseteq G$ which is tidy for α (see Lemma 9.2 (c)), β has small tidy

subgroups. As each of these is also tidy for β^{-1}, Proposition 8.5 shows that $\mathrm{con}(\beta^{-1})$ is closed in $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and hence in G. Since $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}^-(\beta) = \mathrm{con}(\beta^{-1})$ by Lemma 9.1, the assertion follows. □

Remark 9.5 If $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ is closed, then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ need not be closed. For example, let $F \neq \{e\}$ be a finite group and $G := F^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology. Consider the left shift

$$\alpha\colon G \to G, \quad (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

with kernel $F \times \{e\}^{\{2,3,\ldots\}}$. Then $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is the dense proper subgroup of all finitely supported sequences (hence not closed). Let

$$\sigma\colon G \to G, \quad x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (e, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$$

be the right shift. If $x \in G$, then $(\sigma^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory for x such that $\sigma^n(x) \to e$, whence $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$. Thus $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = G$ is closed.

We mention that the proof of Lemma 3.31 in [1] (and hence [1, Theorem 3.32]) uses a "tidying procedure"[10] for compact open subgroups developed in [25], which involves a certain compact subgroup $K \subseteq G$. Following [25, §2, Step 2a, p. 4], it is defined as the intersection

$$K := \bigcap_{O\in\mathrm{COS}(G)} K_O, \tag{31}$$

where $\mathrm{COS}(G)$ is the set of all compact open subgroups of G and

$$K_O := \overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap O_{--}}$$

(in [25], the abbreviation $\mathcal{K}_O := \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap O_{--}$ is used). If G is metrizable, then K admits a simpler description, as the closure

$$K \;=\; \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)} \tag{32}$$

(i.e., $K = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$); this was asserted without proof in the lines preceding Proposition 2.1 in [25], and it was formulated there as an open problem whether equality also holds for non-metrizable G.

[10] This tidying procedure differs from the one recalled in 7.1.

As also the description (32) is used in [1], it is essential for the validity of the cited lemma and theorem from [1] that (32) holds irrespective of metrizability. This is indeed the case, and has been shown by W. Jaworski using a transfinite induction in the spirit of [14].[11] We now give a shorter argument.

Proposition 9.6 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)} \;=\; \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} K_O \,. \tag{33}$$

Proof. For $O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$, we have $O_+ \cap O_- \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and thus

$$O_{--} \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha, O_+ \cap O_-) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}, O_+ \cap O_-), \tag{34}$$

using Lemma 8.1 for the first equality and then Lemma 1.17 (a). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K \;&\supseteq\; \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}, O_+ \cap O_-) \\ &=\; \mathrm{con}\Big(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}, \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(O)} (O_+ \cap O_-)\Big) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 1.11 and the fact that $\bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} (O_+ \cap O_-) \subseteq \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} O = \{e\}$. So $K \supseteq \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$, with equality by Lemma 1.17 (a).

To verify the converse inclusion, note that

$K_O = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}, O_+ \cap O_-)} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})(O_+ \cap O_-)} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})}(O_+ \cap O_-)$

by (34), Theorem A and compactness of $O_+ \cap O_-$. If

$$x \in K = \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} K_O = \bigcap_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})}(O_+ \cap O_-),$$

for each $O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)$ we can write

$$x = x_O y_O$$

with $x_O \in \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})}$ and $y_O \in O_+ \cap O_-$. Direct $\mathrm{COS}(G)$ by declaring $O_1 \leq O_2$ if $O_2 \subseteq O_1$. Then the net $(y_O)_{O \in \mathrm{COS}(G)}$ converges to e, whence

$$x_O = x y_O^{-1} \to x.$$

Thus $x \in \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})}$, showing that $K \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)})} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$. □

We shall see later that $K = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ (Lemma 11.2 (e)).

[11] Unpublished, personal communication, 2008.

10 Proof of Theorem E

We shall use a lemma concerning induced endomorphisms on quotients. For a precursor of (a) and (b) for (inner) automorphisms, compare [7, Lemma 3.6] and its variant in [17, Lemma 4.9 (iv)].

Lemma 10.1 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G and $N \subseteq G$ be an α-stable compact normal subgroup. Let $q\colon G \to G/N$ be the canonical quotient map and $\overline{\alpha}$ be the endomorphism of G/N induced by α. Then the following holds:*

(a) *Let $U \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup such that $N \subseteq U$. Then U is tidy for α if and only if $q(U)$ is tidy for $\overline{\alpha}$.*

(b) $s(\alpha) = s(\overline{\alpha})$.

(c) *If $N \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$, then $q(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ and $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = q^{-1}(\mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha}))$.*

Proof. (a) For $x \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\overline{\alpha}^n(q(x)) = q(\alpha^n(x)) \in q(U)$ if and only if $\alpha^n(x) \in UN = U$. Hence $q(x) \in q(U)_-$ if and only if $x \in U_-$, entailing that

$$U_- = q^{-1}(q(U)_-) \quad \text{and hence also} \quad q(U_-) = q(U)_-.$$

If $x \in U_+$, then there exists an α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for x in U. Then $(q(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an $\overline{\alpha}$-regressive trajectory for $q(x)$ in $q(U)$ and hence $q(x) \in q(U)_+$. Thus

$$q(U_+) \subseteq q(U)_+. \tag{35}$$

If $q(x) \in q(U)_+$ and $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an $\overline{\alpha}$-regressive trajectory for $q(x)$ in $q(U)$, pick $z_n \in q^{-1}(\{y_n\})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$q(\alpha^i(z_n)) = \overline{\alpha}^i(q(z_n)) = \overline{\alpha}^i(y_n) = y_{n-i} \in q(U)$$

for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, showing that $\alpha^i(z_n) \in q^{-1}(q(U)) = U$. Since $q(\alpha^n(z_n)) = y_0 = q(x)$, we have $x\alpha^n(z_n)^{-1} \in \ker(q) = N$. Since $\alpha(N) = N$, we can choose $a \in N$ such that $\alpha^n(a) = x\alpha^n(z_n)^{-1}$. Then $az_n \in U$, $\alpha^i(az_n) = \alpha^i(a)\alpha^i(z_n) \in NU = U$ for $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $\alpha^n(az_n) = \alpha^n(a)\alpha^n(z_n) = x$. Hence $x \in U_{n,\alpha}$ and thus

$$x \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} U_{n,\alpha} = U_+.$$

Thus $q^{-1}(q(U)_+) \subseteq U_+$. Together with (35), this implies $U_+ = q^{-1}(q(U)_+)$ and $q(U_+) = q(U)_+$.

Since $U = UN$ and

$$U_+U_- = U_+U_-N,$$

the final equality in the chain $q(U)_+q(U)_- = q(U_+)q(U_-) = q(U_+U_-) = q(U)$ holds if and only if $U_+U_- = U$. Thus U is tidy above for α if and only if $q(U)$ is tidy above for $\overline{\alpha}$. We have

$$q(\alpha^n(U_+)) = \overline{\alpha}^n(q(U_+)) = \overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $\alpha^n(U_+) \supseteq U_+ = q^{-1}(q(U)_+) \supseteq N$, we deduce that

$$\alpha^n(U_+) = q^{-1}(\overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} U_{++} &= \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(U_+) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} q^{-1}(\overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+)) \\ &= q^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+)\right) = q^{-1}(q(U)_{++}), \end{aligned}$$

showing that U_{++} is closed if and only if $q(U)_{++}$ is closed. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)] &= [q^{-1}(\overline{\alpha}^{n+1}(q(U)_+)) : q^{-1}(\overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+))] \\ &= [\overline{\alpha}^{n+1}(q(U_+)) : \overline{\alpha}^n(q(U_+))], \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

whence the sequence $([\alpha^{n+1}(U_+) : \alpha^n(U_+)])_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is constant if and only if so is $([\overline{\alpha}^{n+1}(q(U)_+) : \overline{\alpha}^n(q(U)_+)])_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. Thus U is tidy if and only if $q(U)$ is tidy.

(b) Let $V \subseteq G/N$ be a compact open subgroup which is tidy for $\overline{\alpha}$. Then $U := q^{-1}(V)$ is a compact open subgroup of G such that $N \subseteq U$ and $q(U) = V$ is tidy, whence U is tidy, by (a). Using (36), we get

$$s(\alpha) = [\alpha(U_+) : U_+] = [\overline{\alpha}(q(U)_+), q(U)_+] = [\overline{\alpha}(V_+) : V_+] = s(\overline{\alpha}).$$

(c) As $N \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) \subseteq U$ for each $U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)$, we deduce from (a) that

$$\mathrm{TID}(\alpha) = \{q^{-1}(V) \colon V \in \mathrm{TID}(\overline{\alpha})\}.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{nub}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{V \in \mathrm{TID}(\overline{\alpha})} q^{-1}(V) = q^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{V \in \mathrm{TID}(\overline{\alpha})} V\right) = q^{-1}(\operatorname{nub}(\overline{\alpha}))$$

and thus $q(\operatorname{nub}(\alpha)) = \operatorname{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$. □

Proof of Theorem E. Let $\beta := \alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ and $\overline{\alpha}$ be the automorphism induced by β on $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$. Let $q\colon \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) \to \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$ be the canonical quotient map, whose kernel $\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$ is compact. Then

$$s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = s(\overline{\alpha}) = s(\overline{\alpha}|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}}), \tag{37}$$

using Lemma 9.2 (c), Lemma 10.1 (b) or Proposition 7.10, as well as [1, Proposition 3.21].[12]

We have $\mathrm{con}^-(\beta, \operatorname{bik}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{con}^-(\beta)\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$ by Theorem B and thus $q(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha))$ $= q(\mathrm{con}^-(\beta)) = \mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})$. Since $\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$ is compact, we deduce that

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$$

is closed. It then coincides with the set $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)}$, which is mapped onto $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}$ by q. Hence

$$p := q|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}}\colon \overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)} \to \overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}$$

is a continuous surjective homomorphism and hence a quotient morphism, as both groups are σ-compact (whence the open mapping theorem, [12, (5.29)] applies): In fact, as the left hand side is a closed subgroup of $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}\operatorname{bik}(\alpha)$ and this group has $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}$ as a quotient with compact kernel, it will be σ-compact if $\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}$ is σ-compact, which holds by Lemma 10.2 to come.

Since p has compact kernel, by applying Lemma 10.1 (b) or Proposition 7.10 we deduce that $s(\alpha|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}}) = s(\overline{\alpha}|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}})$. Together with (37), we get $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}})$. □

We applied the following lemma to the automorphism $\overline{\alpha}^{-1}$:

Lemma 10.2 *For each automorphism $\alpha\colon G \to G$ of a locally compact group, the closure $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$ of the contraction group is σ-compact.*

[12] The first and second equality were obtained independently in [5, Lemma 4.5 (3), (4)].

Proof. Let K be a compact identity neighbourhood in $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$. Then

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)K.$$

For each $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^n(x) \in K$ and thus $x \in \alpha^{-n}(K)$. Hence

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{-n}(K)K$$

is σ-compact. □

As an application of Theorem B, Proposition 7.10 and Theorem E, we include the following addition to the study begun in Section 7. Note that since α-stable compact groups are contained within $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$, this result is a generalization of Lemma 10.1 (b). It also generalizes Proposition 7.10 which can be seen by choosing $G = \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. As a consequence, the result is also a generalization of [1, Proposition 3.21 (2)] which is precisely Proposition 7.10 restricted to automorphisms.

Theorem 10.3 *Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact group and α an endomorphism of G. Further, let $N \subseteq G$ be a closed normal subgroup which is α-stable and contained in* $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. *If $\overline{\alpha}$ is the endomorphism induced by α on G/N, then*

$$s_G(\alpha) = s_{G/N}(\bar{\alpha})s_N(\alpha).$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 9.2 and Proposition 7.10, it suffices to show

$$s_{G/N}(\overline{\alpha}) = s_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/N}(\overline{\alpha}),$$

where the right hand side denotes the scale of the restriction of $\overline{\alpha}$ to $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/N$. Let $xN \in \mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})$. Then $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, N)$ which by Theorem B implies $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)N$. Therefore, $xN \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/N$ as $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. Since $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is closed we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})} \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/N.$$

From Theorem E we know that

$$s(\overline{\alpha}) = s(\overline{\alpha}|_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}}),$$

and so applying Proposition 7.3 we have

$$s_{G/N}(\overline{\alpha}) = s_{\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\overline{\alpha})}}(\overline{\alpha}) \leq s_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/N}(\overline{\alpha}) \leq s_{G/N}(\overline{\alpha}),$$

as required. □

11 Further results concerning the nub

In this section, we provide further results concerning the nub of an endomorphism, as well as consequences, for example an improved description of the closure of a contraction group.

Lemma 11.1 *If α is an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G, then*

$$\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) = \mathrm{lev}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}) \qquad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{bik}(\alpha) = \mathrm{bik}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}).$$

Proof. Abbreviate $\gamma := \alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$. If $x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^n(x) = e$ for some n, then $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$. Hence

$$\{x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) \colon (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\ \alpha^n(x) = e\} = \{x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \colon (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\ \gamma^n(x) = e\}.$$

Since $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ is closed, the closures of the preceding set in G and $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ coincide. Thus $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) = \mathrm{bik}(\gamma)$.

If $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$, there exists a bounded α-trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $x_0 = x$. Then $x_n \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ for each n, since $(x_{n+m})_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded α-trajectory for this element. Thus $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded γ-trajectory for x in $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ (and hence also a bounded $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$-trajectory for x in $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$). Thus $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)})$ and hence $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)})$. The converse inclusion is trivial. □

The following statements (a) and (b) are implicit in comments concerning the nub in [27, lines following Remark 4] (where no proof is given); independently, they were verified in [5, Lemma 4.5 (5)].

Proposition 11.2 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Let $q\colon \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) \to \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) =: Q$ be the canonical quotient map and $\overline{\alpha}$ be the automorphism of Q induced by $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$. Then*

(a) $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$,

(b) $\mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha}) = q(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha))$,

(c) $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)}$,

(d) $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{U\in\mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U_{--} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$, *and*

(e) $$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) &= \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)} = \textstyle\bigcap_{U\in\mathrm{COS}(G)} \overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}} \\ &= \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap \textstyle\bigcap_{U\in\mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U_{--} = \bigcap_{V\in\mathrm{COS}(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha))} \overline{V_{--}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) Abbreviate $P^- := \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. We recall from [27, Corollary 5] that $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U = \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} (U_+ \cap U_-)$, whence also

$$\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U_+. \tag{38}$$

The right hand side of (38) contains $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$, by Lemma 9.2 (c). Hence $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) \supseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$. For the reverse inclusion we show the contrapositive. Suppose $x \notin \mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$. Then $x \notin W$ for some compact open subgroup $W \subseteq P^-$ which is tidy for $\alpha|_{P^-}$. Let O be a compact open subgroup of G with $O \cap P^- \subseteq W$. Then

$$V := \bigcap_{y \in W} yOy^{-1}$$

is a compact open subgroup of G which is normalized by W, entailing that VW is a compact open subgroup of G. Note that $x \notin VW$ (if we could write $x = vw$ with $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, then $v = xw^{-1} \in V \cap P^- \subseteq O \cap P^- \subseteq W$, whence $x = vw \in W$ contrary to the choice of W). For large $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$U := \bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^{-j}(VW)$$

is tidy above for α. By Lemma 2.1, after increasing ℓ if necessary, we may assume that, moreover, $P^- \cap U$ is tidy above for $\alpha|_{P^-}$. Since $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-}) \subseteq W$ and $\alpha(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$, we have $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-}) \subseteq U$ and hence

$$\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-}) \subseteq U \cap P^-.$$

As a consequence, $U \cap P^-$ is tidy for $\alpha|_{P^-}$, whence U is tidy below for α (by Lemma 9.2 (a)) and hence tidy. Thus $x \notin \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) \subseteq U$. This shows that $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$.

(b) As $\ker(q) = \mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})$ (using (a)), we have $q(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = q(\mathrm{nub}(\alpha|_{P^-})) = \mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ by Lemma 10.1 (c).

(c) By (b), the map $p := q|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)} \colon \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ is onto and thus a quotient map by the open mapping theorem [12, (5.29)], as $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ is compact. Hence

$$q(\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = q(\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)}) = \mathrm{con}(\overline{\alpha}|_{\mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})}),$$

by Theorem A, which is dense in $\mathrm{nub}(\overline{\alpha})$ by [1, Lemma 3.31, (1) and (2)]. As a consequence,

$$\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)})\,\ker(p) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)})\,\mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \tag{39}$$

is dense in $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. The subset

$$\begin{aligned}\{x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)\colon (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\ \alpha^n(x) = e\} &\subseteq \mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\\ &= \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)})\end{aligned}$$

is dense in $\mathrm{bik}(\alpha)$. Combining this with the density of the set from (39) in $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$, we find that $\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)})$ is dense in $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ (as asserted).

(d) We know from Lemma 8.3 that $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)(\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha))$. By (c), $\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$ is dense in $\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$, and thus $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. Hence $\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)} = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. It only remains to recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U_{--} &= \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} \mathrm{con}(\alpha, U_+ \cap U_-) = \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} \mathrm{con}(\alpha, U)\\ &= \mathrm{con}(\alpha, \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 8.1, Lemma 1.14, Lemma 1.11, and Theorem A.

(e) As $\{U \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)\colon U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)\}$ is a basis of identity neighbourhoods in $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--} = (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U)_{--}$, we have $\bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} \overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}} = \bigcap_{V \in \mathrm{COS}(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha))} \overline{V_{--}}$. The second and third groups in (e) (from the left) coincide by Lemma 9.6, and we denote them by K (as in (31)). Now $K = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)} \supseteq \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)} = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$, by Proposition 11.2 (c). On the other hand,

$$K = \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{COS}(G)} \overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}} \subseteq \bigcap_{U \in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} \overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}} \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$$

as each of the sets $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}$ is closed, and contained in $U_+ \cap U_-$, as we now verify: If $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}$, then $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^n(x) \in U_-$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a bounded α-regressive trajectory for x. Then $\alpha^n(x), \alpha^{n-1}(x), \ldots, \alpha(x), x, x_1, x_2, \ldots$ is a bounded α-regressive trajectory for $\alpha^n(x) \in U$, whence $\alpha^n(x) \in U_+$ by [27, Proposition 11 (a)] and thus $\alpha^n(x) \in U_+ \cap U_-$. Since $\alpha(U_+ \cap U_-) = U_+ \cap U_-$, there exists and α-regressive trajectory $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for $\alpha^n(x)$ inside $U_+ \cap U_-$. Then

$y_n^{-1}x \in (U_+ \cap U_-)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^n(y_n^{-1}x) = e$, showing that $y_n^{-1}x \in \mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. Hence

$$x = y_n(y_n^{-1}x) \in (U_+ \cap U_-)\,\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = U_+ \cap U_-.$$

Thus $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--} \subseteq U_+ \cap U_-$ and thus $K \subseteq \bigcap_{U\in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)}(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap U_{--}) \subseteq \bigcap_{U\in \mathrm{TID}(\alpha)} U_+ \cap U_- = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha)$. □

Proposition 11.2 (e) provides several characterizations of the nub, and identifies it as the group considered in Proposition 9.6 (which had been used in the literature in the case of automorphisms, as recalled above). A complementary characterization of the nub was obtained in [5, Corollary 4.6].

12 Theorem F: Dynamics on the 'big cell'

Before we prove Theorem F, let us collect some tools. For automorphisms, part (a), (d), (e) and (f) of the following lemma are known (cf. Proposition 3.4, Corollary 3.17, and Lemma 3.18 in [1]).

Lemma 12.1 *Let α be an endomorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group G. Then the following holds:*

(a) $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ *is a normal subgroup of* $\mathrm{par}(\alpha)$ *and* $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ *is normal in* $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$.

(b) $V_- = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})(V_+ \cap V_-)$ *for each compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$, and* $\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})$ *is normal in V_-. If V is tidy for α, then $V_+ \cap V_- = \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V$ and* $\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V-}) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V$.

(c) $V_+ = (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)(V_+ \cap V_-)$ *for each compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$, and* $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+$ *is normal in V_+. If V is tidy, then* $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+ = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V$.

(d) $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$. *Moreover, the surjective homomorphism* $q\colon \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{par}(\alpha)/\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$, $g \mapsto g\,\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$ *is continuous and open.*

(e) $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)\,\overline{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$. *Moreover, the surjective homomorphism* $p\colon \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$, $g \mapsto g\,\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$ *is continuous and open.*

(f) *A compact open subgroup $V \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ is tidy for $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ if and only if* $\alpha(V) = V$.

Proof. (a) If $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are sequences in G such that $x_n \to e$ and the set $\{g_n\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact, then also $g_n x_n g_n^{-1} \to e$ by virtue of [12, (4.9)]. The assertions follow from this observation.

(b) If $x \in V_- \subseteq V_{--}$, then $\alpha^n(x) \to e$ modulo $V_+ \cap V_-$ in G (see Lemma 8.1) and hence also in V_- (see Lemma 1.16). Thus, using Theorem A,

$$V_- = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-}, V_+ \cap V_-) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})(V_+ \cap V_-).$$

By (a), $\mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})$ is normal in $\mathrm{par}(\alpha|_{V_-}) = V_-$. If V is tidy and $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V$, then $\{\alpha^n(x)\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is relatively compact and thus $x \in V_-$ (by [27, Proposition 11 (2)]). Hence $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})$ and so $\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})$. The converse inclusion is trivial.

Finally, $V_+ \cap V_- = \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V$ by [27, Proposition 11].

(c) As $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ is normal in $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$, the intersection $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+$ is normal in the subgroup V_+ of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$. If $x \in V_+ \subseteq V_{++}$, then $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, V_+ \cap V_-)$ by Lemma 8.1 and thus $x \in (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)(V_+ \cap V_-)$, by Theorem B. Trivially, $(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)(V_+ \cap V_-) \subseteq V_+$. If V is tidy, then $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V \subseteq V_+$ (by [27, Proposition 11 (1)]), whence $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+ = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V$.

(d) If $x \in \mathrm{par}(\alpha)$, then the closure $K := \overline{\{\alpha^n(x)\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}}$ is compact. Since $\alpha^n(x) \in K$ for all n, we have $\alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{R}} K$ and thus $\alpha^n(x) \to_{\mathcal{R}} K_+ \cap K_-$, by Lemma 1.14. Since $K_+ \cap K_-$ is compact and $\alpha(K_+ \cap K_-) = K_+ \cap K_-$,

$$K_+ \cap K_- \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha).$$

Thus $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-) \subseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha, \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$, using Theorem A. Thus $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) \supseteq \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$. The converse inclusion is trivial.

If $V \subseteq G$ is a compact open subgroup which is tidy for α, then $V_- = V \cap \mathrm{par}(\alpha)$ is open in $\mathrm{par}(\alpha)$ and $V_+ \cap V_- = V \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ is open in $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ (cf. [27, Proposition 11]). Since $V_- = (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V)(V_+ \cap V_-)$ by (a), we see that

$$q(V_+ \cap V_-) = V_- \,\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}/\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$$

is open in $\mathrm{par}(\alpha)/\overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha)}$. Since $V_+ \cap V_-$ is compact, the continuous surjection $q|_{V_+\cap V_-}\colon V_+ \cap V_- \to q(V_+ \cap V_-)$ is a quotient map and thus an open map (being also a homomorphism). The assertion follows.

(e) Every $x \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ admits a bounded α-regressive trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. In particular, $K := \overline{\{x_n\colon n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}}$ is compact. Since $x_n \in K$ for all n, we have

$x_n(x) \to_{\mathcal{R}} K$ and thus $x_n \to_{\mathcal{R}} K_+ \cap K_-$, by Lemma 1.14. Since $K_+ \cap K_-$ is compact and $\alpha(K_+ \cap K_-) = K_+ \cap K_-$,

$$K_+ \cap K_- \subseteq \mathrm{lev}(\alpha).$$

Thus $x \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, K_+ \cap K_-) \subseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha, \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$, using Theorem B. Thus $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) \supseteq \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$. The converse inclusion is trivial.

If $V \subseteq G$ is a tidy subgroup for α, then $V_+ = V \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is open in $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ and $V_+ \cap V_- = V \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ is open in $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ (cf. [27, Proposition 11]). Since $V_+ = (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)(V_+ \cap V_-)$ by (b), we see that

$$p(V_+ \cap V_-) = V_+\,\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}/\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$$

is open in $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)/\overline{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$. Since $V_+ \cap V_-$ is compact, the continuous surjection $p|_{V_+ \cap V_-} : V_+ \cap V_- \to p(V_+ \cap V_-)$ is a quotient map and thus an open map (being also a homomorphism). The assertion follows.

(f) If $\alpha(V) = V$, then $V_+ = V_- = V$ (whence $V = V_+V_-$) and V_{--} is open (and thus closed); so V is tidy. If, conversely, V is tidy, then every $x \in V$ lies on a bounded α-trajectory $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (as $x \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$), whence $x \in V_+ \cap V_-$ by [27, Proposition 11]. Thus $V = V_+ \cap V_-$ and thus $\alpha(V) = V$. □

Proof of Theorem F. If α has small tidy subgroups, then also $\alpha_L := \alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$, by Corollary 8.7. If, conversely, α_L has small tidy subgroups, then

$$\{e\} = \mathrm{nub}(\alpha_L) = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha_L) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha_L)} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha_L)}, \tag{40}$$

using Proposition 8.5 for the first equality, Proposition 11.2 (e) for the second, and the fact that $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha_L) = \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ for the last equality. Thus

$$\mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)} = \overline{\mathrm{con}(\alpha_L)} = \{e\}, \tag{41}$$

whence α has small tidy subgroups (by Proposition 8.5).

Assuming now that α has small tidy subgroups, we have:

(c) Since $\ker(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{bik}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \{e\}$, the induced endomorphism $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ of $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ is injective and hence an automorphism of the topological group $\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ (by Lemma 9.2 (b)). We know that the endomorphisms $\alpha|_{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$ and $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ are surjective. Being restrictions of the automorphism $\alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$, also $\alpha|_{\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)}$ and $\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}$ are automorphisms.

(b) By (41), we have $\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) = \{e\}$. Together with Lemma 12.1 (a) and (d), this entails that $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ as an abstract group. By Proposition 12.1 (d), the map $q\colon \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{par}(\alpha)/\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, $g \mapsto g\,\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism of topological groups. As a consequence, the homomorphism $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$, $xy \mapsto y$ (with $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, $y \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$) is continuous and thus $\mathrm{par}(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ as a topological group.

As α has small tidy subgroups, so does the automorphism $\beta := \alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ (see Corollary 8.7). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) &= \mathrm{par}^-(\beta) = \mathrm{par}(\beta^{-1}) = \mathrm{con}(\beta^{-1}) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\beta^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{con}^-(\beta) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\beta) = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \rtimes \mathrm{lev}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

(d) Let $V \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup which is tidy for α. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V^{-1} = (V_-)^{-1}(V_+)^{-1} = V_-(V_+)^{-1} \\ &= (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V) \subseteq \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 12.1 (b) and (c). As each of $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ intersects $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ in $\{e\}$ (by (b)), we deduce from Lemma 12.1 (b) and (c) that

$$V_- = (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V) \rtimes (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V) \text{ and } V_+ = (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V) \rtimes (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V) \quad (42)$$

as abstract groups. Using (b), we see that (42) also holds in the sense of topological groups.

(a) By (d), Ω is an identity neighbourhood. For $x \in G$, the translations $\lambda_x, \rho_x\colon G \to G$,

$$\lambda_x(y) := xy, \quad \rho_x(y) := yx \quad \text{for } y \in G$$

are homeomorphisms. If $z \in \Omega$, write $z = xy$ with $x \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ and $y \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$ (cf. Lemma 12.1 (e)). Since

$$\Omega = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha),$$

we have $(\rho_y \circ \lambda_x)(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$. Since $(\rho_y \circ \lambda_x)(\Omega)$ is a neighbourhood of

$$(\rho_y \circ \lambda_x)(e) = xy = z,$$

so is Ω. Hence Ω is open. If also $z = ab$ with $a \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$ and $y \in \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)$, then

$$a^{-1}x = by^{-1} \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)}) = \{e\}$$

(where we used (40)). Hence $x = a$ and $y = b$, showing that π is injective and hence a bijection. It remains to show that π is an open map. If $V \subseteq G$ is a tidy subgroup for α and

$$L := (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V) \times (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V) \times (\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V),$$

then π restricts to a continuous bijection

$$\pi_V \colon L \to V_- V_+ = V, \tag{43}$$

as a consequence of (d). Since L is compact, π_V is a homeomorphism. Hence $\pi|_L$ is an open map. Given $a \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, $b \in \mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and $c \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$, we have

$$\pi(x, y, z) = a\pi|_L(a^{-1}x, yb^{-1}, bzc^{-1}b^{-1})bc$$

for $(x, y, z) \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ in some open neighbourhood W of (a, b, c). Since translations and the automorphism $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \to \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$, $v \mapsto bvb^{-1}$ are open maps, $\pi|_W$ is an open map and thus π a homeomorphism.

(e) If V is tidy, then V is the product of the α-invariant set $\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V = \mathrm{con}(\alpha|_{V_-})$, the α-stable set $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V = V_+ \cap V_-$ and the set $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V = \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+$ whose image under α is contained in $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$. In view of (a), we now deduce from

$$(V_+ \cap V_-)(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+) = V_+ \subseteq \alpha(V_+) = (V_+ \cap V_-)\alpha(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)$$

that $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+ \subseteq \alpha(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V_+)$. Conversely, assume that (4)–(7) are satisfied by a compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$. If $v \in V_-$, write $\pi^{-1}(v) =: (a, b, c)$. As $\mathrm{con}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ are α-invariant, we deduce from

$$\alpha^n(v) = \alpha^n(a)\alpha^n(b)\alpha^n(c) \in V$$

that $\alpha^n(c) \in \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, whence $c \in \mathrm{par}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) = \{e\}$ and thus $c = e$. We now easily deduce that

$$V_- = (\mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V).$$

If $v \in V_+$ and $(a, b, c) := \pi^{-1}(v)$, let $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be an α-regressive trajectory for v in V. Write $(a_n, b_n, c_n) := \pi^{-1}(v_n)$. Then $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an α-regressive trajectory for a in V, whence $a \in \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{par}^-(\alpha) = \mathrm{con}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathrm{nub}(\alpha) = \{e\}$ and thus $a = e$. We now easily deduce that

$$V_+ = (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)(\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \cap V).$$

Using that $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V$ is α-stable and $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha) \subseteq V_{++}$ (cf. Lemma 8.1), we find that

$$V_{++} = (\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V)\, \mathrm{con}^-(\alpha).$$

As $\mathrm{con}^-(\alpha)$ is closed in G and $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha) \cap V$ is compact, V_{++} is closed in G. Since $\beta := \alpha|_{\mathrm{par}^-(\alpha)}$ is an automorphism, the indices $[\alpha^{n+1}(V_+) : \alpha^n(V_+)] = [\beta^{n+1}(V_+) : \beta^n(V_+)]$ are independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence V is tidy.

(f) As $\mathrm{lev}(\alpha)$ has small tidy subgroups, (f) follows from Lemma 12.1 (f). □

References

[1] Baumgartner, U. and G. A. Willis, *Contraction groups and scales of automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Isr. J. Math. **142** (2004), 221–248.

[2] Bourbani, N. "General Topology I", Chapters 1–4, Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[3] Bourbaki, N., "Lie Groups and Lie Algebras", Chapters 1–3, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[4] Dani, S. G. and R. Shah, *Contraction subgroups and semistable measures on p-adic Lie groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **110** (1991), 299–306.

[5] Giordano Bruno, A. and S. Virili, *Topological entropy in totally disconnected locally compact groups*, to appear in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (cf. `arXiv:1507.08469`).

[6] Glöckner, H., *Contraction groups for tidy automorphisms of totally disconnected groups*, Glasg. Math. J. **47** (2005), 329-333.

[7] Glöckner, H., *Locally compact groups built up from p-adic Lie groups, for p in a given set of primes*, J. Group Theorey **9** (2006), 427–454.

[8] Glöckner, H., *Contractible Lie groups over local fields*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), 889–904.

[9] Glöckner, H., *Invariant manifolds for analytic dynamical systems over ultrametric fields*, Expo. Math. **31** (2013), 116–150.

[10] Glöckner, H., *Endomorphisms of Lie groups over local fields*, preprint, `arXiv:1701.01804v2`.

[11] Glöckner, H. and G. A. Willis, *Classification of the simple factors appearing in composition series of totally disconnected contraction groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **634** (2010), 141–169.

[12] Hewitt, E. and K. A. Ross, "Abstract Harmonic Analysis," Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, ^{2}1979.

[13] Isbell, J. R., "Uniform Spaces," AMS, Providence, 1964.

[14] Jaworski, W., *On contraction groups of automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Isr. J. Math. **172** (2009), 1–8.

[15] Raja, C. R. E. and R. Shah, *Some properties of distal actions on locally compact groups*, preprint, `arXiv.1201.4287v2`.

[16] Reid, C. D., *Endomorphisms of profinite groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **8** (2014), 553–564.

[17] Reid, C. D., *Dynamics of flat actions on totally disconnected, locally compact groups*, New York J. Math. **22** (2016), 115–190.

[18] Robinson, D. J. S., "A Course in the Theory of Groups," Springer, New York, ^{2}1996.

[19] Serre, J.-P., "Lie Algebras and Lie Groups," Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[20] Siebert, E., *Contractive automorphisms on locally compact groups*, Math. Z. **191** (1986), 73–90.

[21] van Dantzig, D., *Studien over topologische Algebra*, University of Groningen (1931).

[22] Wang, J. S. P., *The Mautner phenomenon for p-adic Lie groups*, Math. Z. **185** (1984), 403–412.

[23] Willis, G. A., *The structure of totally disconnected, locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), 341–363.

[24] Willis, G. A., *Further properties of the scale function on a totally disconnected group*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 142–164.

[25] Willis, G. A., *Tidy subgroups for commuting automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups: An analogue of simultaneous triangularisation of matrices*, New York J. Math. **10** (2004), 1–35.

[26] Willis, G. A., *The nub of an automorphism of a totally disconnected, locally compact group*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **34** (2014), 1365–1394.

[27] Willis, G. A, *The scale and tidy subgroups for endomorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), 403–442.

Timothy P. Bywaters, The University of Sydney, School of Mathematics and Statistics, NSW 2006, Australia

e-mail: t.bywaters@maths.usyd.edu.au

Helge Glöckner, Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany

e-mail: glockner@math.upb.de

Stephan Tornier, ETH Zürich, D-MATH, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland and The University of Newcastle, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University Drive, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.

e-mail: stephan.tornier@math.ethz.ch